



## Richland County Council

SPECIAL CALLED MEETING  
July 18, 2019 – 6:00 PM  
Council Chambers  
2020 Hampton Street, Columbia, SC 29204

**COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:** Paul Livingston, Chair; Dalhi Myers, Vice Chair; Joyce Dickerson, Calvin “Chip” Jackson, Gwen Kennedy, Bill Malinowski, Jim Manning, Yvonne McBride, Chakisse Newton, Allison Terracio and Joe Walker

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Michelle Onley, Sandra Yudice, Kim Williams-Roberts, Portia Easter, Wendy Davis, James Hayes, O’Jetta Bryant, Steven Gaither, Ashley Powell, Ashiya Myers, John Thompson, Leonardo Brown, Stacey Hamm, Angela Weathersby, Ismail Ozbek and Elizabeth McLean

1. **CALL TO ORDER** – Mr. Livingston called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 PM.
2. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA** – Mr. Manning, moved, seconded by Ms. Kennedy, to add the Budget Ordinance to the agenda.

In Favor: Terracio, Malinowski, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Walker, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

The vote in favor was unanimous.

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Kennedy, to adopt the agenda as amended.

In Favor: Terracio, Malinowski, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Walker, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

The vote in favor was unanimous.

3. **PUBLIC HEARING**
  - a. **An Ordinance to raise revenue, make appropriations, and adopt Biennium Budget II (FY 2020 and FY 2021) for Richland County, South Carolina authorizing the levying of Ad Valorem property taxes which together with the prior year’s carryover and other State Levies and any additional amount appropriated by the Richland County Council prior to July 1, 2020 will provide sufficient revenues for the operations of Richland County Government during the period of the second fiscal year of Biennium Budget II from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (Fiscal Year 2012)**

1. Hans Pauling – Solicitor’s Office

**POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE** – Mr. Livingston introduced Mr. Brown to those that were in attendance.

Mr. Brown provided some welcoming remarks to those in the audience.

4. **THIRD READING**

**An Ordinance to raise revenue, make appropriations, and adopt Biennium Budget II (FY 2020 and FY 2021) for Richland County, South Carolina authorizing the levying of Ad Valorem property taxes which together with the prior year's carryover and other State Levies and any additional amount appropriated by the Richland County Council prior to July 1, 2020 will provide sufficient revenues for the operations of Richland County Government during the period of the second fiscal year of Biennium Budget II from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (Fiscal Year 2012)**

**GENERAL FUND**

- 31. County Departments (FY - \$177,913,578)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items #31 and #32.

Mr. Malinowski stated he does not see the recommended amount listed on the motions list.

Mr. Hayes stated, because of the actions that took place at FY20, the FY21 numbers had to be adjusted. He stated he does have the amount that was recommended at FY20.

In Favor: Terracio, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

The vote was in favor.

- 32. Transfer Out (FY21 - \$8,787,488)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #31.

- 33. Sheriff's Department (FY21 - \$118,526.07 to continue operating the community cameras and \$168,000 for cell phones for a total of \$286,526.07)** – Mr. Walker moved, seconded by Ms. Terracio, to approve this item.

Mr. Malinowski stated, for clarification, this is the item where they cannot use the seizure funds.

Mr. Hayes responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to where the seizure funds go now.

Major Polis stated the funds can be used for training, but cannot be used for recurring expenses.

In Favor: Terracio, Malinowski, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Walker, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- 34. Board of Elections & Voter Registration (FY21 - \$1,859,641)** – Ms. Terracio moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to approve this item.

Mr. Malinowski stated we have a State mandate that tells the County how much money we should be providing to this agency. When we start giving additional funding, the State is going to start to

say, "Apparently you want to pay them more than we told you. Go ahead and keep paying them, and they may start to decrease their portion of the funding." He stated the people that work there are assigned by the State, and he does not think we should not get involved with giving them anything that makes it look like we want to fund them. They should first go to the State, and ask for the funds.

Ms. Dickerson stated she agrees with Mr. Malinowski. If they need additional funding, they should make a written request, so we can do a budget amendment, with three (3) readings and a public hearing.

Ms. Terracio stated this is not allocating any additional funding to the Board of Elections & Voter Registration. This is budgeting their full amount, in advance of any reimbursements we would get from the State. It would more or less be net neutral.

Mr. Hayes stated Mr. Jackson's motion, last month, was to fund them up to \$340,000, which was the difference between what they requested and what we recommended, contingent upon the reimbursements. Even though we put that amount in the budget, we will reduce it back down to the amount the budget is reflective of.

Mr. Malinowski inquired as to what the State dollar amount is that we are required to put forward for this agency.

Mr. Hayes stated he does not have the minimum amount the State mandates the County to provide.

Mr. Malinowski made a substitute motion, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to fund the Board of Elections & Voter Registration up to the State amount, and if additional funded is needed they can request the funding, as an out of cycle budget request.

Ms. Terracio stated, for clarification, the substitute motion is to go back to the old way of doing things where the reimbursement comes in, and the department requests Council to allocate funds. The spirit of the original motion was to go ahead and allow the Board of Elections & Voter Registration to count on those funds, in advance, so they know they can hire people, they can timely move voting machines around, and they do not have to come in and request the money after the fact.

Mr. Malinowski stated, for clarification, they should not have to come back to us. They should go to the State, who should tell us how much to give them.

Ms. Dickerson stated we have had a lot of experience with this, and we will get stuck.

In Favor: Terracio, Malinowski, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Walker, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Dickerson

Present but Not Voting: Manning

The vote was in favor.

**37. Lump Sum Allocations (FY21 - \$2,083,668)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item.

Mr. Hayes stated, for clarification, this is the funding level for the outside appropriations. Normally, they are approved on a one year basis, but there some groups that were approved on a two-year basis. This establishes the funding level.

In Favor: Terracio, Jackson, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride  
Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

Present but Not Voting: Newton

The vote was in favor.

- 39. LumpSum (Allocate \$130,063 to the United Way of the Midlands to partially fund a Resiliency Team pilot program at Jackson Creek Elementary School as part of the Resilient Richland initiative. This funding is for both years of the Biennium II. The funding is to be designated as a Lump Sum Outside Agency Appropriation)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items #39, #41 - #44, #47 - #48, and #52 - #53.

Mr. Walker stated he wanted to voice his disdain for the practice of taxing our constituents, and then turn around to donate them, at our discretion, to charities and “pet project” entities.

Ms. Dickerson noted that Item #49 was tabled.

Mr. Jackson stated he is concerned that we are using fund balance dollars to support some of the causes, which he would think we would all agree are important causes in our County. If we are going to do this routinely, we need to consider converting some to candidates for the General Fund, so they do not become “pet projects”. Fund balances are typically used as an emergency reserve fund, in the event there is some unexpected turn in the economy, or unexpected catastrophe that requires an infusion of an inordinate amount of dollars, which were not anticipated. To routinely fund projects, using fund balances, is not a wise to budget.

In Favor: Terracio, Kennedy, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

Abstain: Jackson and Newton

Present but Not Voting: Myers

The vote was in favor.

- 41. LumpSum (Allocate \$50,000 to CityLight Community Development Corporation to pilot a summer educational, cultural and empowerment experience for youth residing at North Point Estate [also known as Bethel Bishop]. North Point Estate has more violent crime than any other block in Columbia/Richland County [State Newspaper/August 2018])** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #39.
- 42. LumpSum (Allocate \$10,000 to Greenview Swim Team to offer free swimming lessons to youth from low income families throughout Richland County during summer months and on weekends during school year where feasible with indoor swimming pools. Swimming accommodations will be coordinated with both Richland County and the City of Columbia Parks and Recreation program. Program cost includes assistance for special transportation for students not living in**

*walking distance and have no means of transportation to swimming lesson)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #39.

43. *LumpSum (Allocate \$25,000 for the Wiley Kennedy Foundation to provide and expand services to underserved residents, youths and senior citizens residing in zip code 29203. The foundation has an excellent reputation in the community works to address needs of community through: feeding the homeless, providing food packages to sustain children from low income families for the weekend, health programs, summer enrichment activities for youth, programs for senior citizens and other community engagement activities)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #39.
44. *LumpSum (Allocate \$150,000 to establish a Richland County Work Force Development and Outreach Program that will address employment and training opportunities and outreach for citizens from disadvantaged and underserved communities in Richland County; and to establish a Richland County Summer Youth Employment and Leadership training program. This program can be funded in-house or can be outsourced in collaboration with Midland Tech or another entity)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #39.
47. *LumpSum (Allocate \$157,862 to the United Way of the Midlands to fund a Resiliency Team pilot project at Watkins-Nance Elementary School as a part of the Resilient Richland initiative. This funding is for both years of the Biennium II. The funding is from the General Fund Balance.)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #39.
48. *LumpSum (Move to allocate \$50,000 to The Therapy Place from General Fund to support services for autistic children in Richland County)* – Mr. Manning’s motion was to allocate \$25,000 in FY21.
52. *Various (I move to assign an additional \$1.5M from unassigned Fund Balance to the Total Rewards program. This will bring the staying total to \$2.5M)* – This item was incorporated into Item #39.
53. *CASA (Fund a CASA attorney position: CASA attorney is \$44,146; FICA would be \$3,377, and SCRS (retirement) would be \$6,870 in FY20 and \$7,311 in FY21; so the total needed in FY21 would be \$54,834)* – This was incorporated into Item #39.

#### SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

54. *Victim’s Rights (FY21 - \$931,021)* – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items #54 - #70.

Ms. Terracio requested to remove #58 for discussion.

In Favor: Terracio, Jackson, Newton, Myers Kennedy, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

The vote was in favor.

55. *Tourism Development (FY21 - \$1,293,500)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
56. *Temporary Alcohol Permits (FY21 - \$170,000)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
57. *Emergency Telephone System (FY21 - \$6,405,101)* – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.

Special Called Meeting  
July 18, 2019

**58. Fire Service (FY21 - \$28,281,245)** – Ms. Terracio moved, seconded by Mr. Manning, to approve this item.

Ms. Terracio stated it is recommended that we approve \$28,281,245 for FY21. Looking at this number, and then learning that this number broken down really only allocated about \$20.5M for fire services. She is concerned about what that number breaks down to, and what, if anything, we can do to influence and assure that we have adequate funding for our fire services.

Mr. Hayes stated \$21.7M is the same amount that was budgeted for the last Biennium. Due to the cost of the City no longer providing contract repair/maintenance, some funds were withheld. Those funds were redirected because our Fleet Services are now doing those repairs. We used some of the Fire Services fund balance to balance the budget, so there is no additional revenue unless you choose to use more of the fund balance.

Ms. Myers noted we have requested a third-party survey to give us an analysis of fire service across the County. She suggested revisiting the Fire Service budget once we receive the surveys' findings.

Ms. Dickerson stated she wants to see Richland County attached to the vehicles, and the brochures.

Ms. Newton inquired if Ms. Myers' recommendation is to fund the Fire Service at this level until we get the study back. She further inquired if there is a mechanism that would reserve the fund, in the event that we need it.

Ms. Myers stated the Fire Service fund balance would be the source of funds.

Mr. Jackson inquired as to what amount of funding was approved for FY20.

Mr. Hayes stated \$28,193,000 was approved. Of that, \$21.7M is what is budgeted for lump sum appropriation to the City of Columbia.

Mr. Jackson inquired if we used fund balance to approve the funding for FY20.

Mr. Hayes stated to balance the budget we are using \$628,000 of fund balance.

Mr. Jackson made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to fund them at the same level as FY20.

Mr. Hayes stated the FY21 number is actually larger because we were just estimating. It is \$28,193,000 this year, and \$28,281,000 next year.

Mr. Jackson stated he thought Ms. Myers' comments were that we would withhold.

Ms. Myers stated, for clarification, she agrees with Ms. Terracio that there some concern that fire service, across the County, may not be where it ought to be. That is why in October/November she requested a study to explain the disparities, if there were any. She agrees with the current funding level, which is above the FY20 fund level. She suggested, when the study comes back, we revisit the funding level to address whether the study tells us we need to "beef up".

Mr. Jackson stated if the request is to that we will revisit it for additional funding, for FY21, that we have the same discussion for the current year, FY20. If the study results require us to increase the

FY21 by \$2M, he would hope that we would also put that into their FY20 budget. He withdrew his substitute motion.

Ms. McBride inquired if Mr. Hayes consulted with Chief Jenkins during the budget process.

Mr. Hayes responded he consulted with Mr. Byrd, but he did not directly consult with Chief Jenkins.

Ms. McBride stated she would like to be assured that the funding, we are currently voting on, can provide adequate and safe services.

Mr. Brown stated that was one of the concerns Chief Jenkins expressed to him tonight. Chief Jenkins was not involved in the process, so the number he says will adequately fund Fire Services is more than the County is providing. The \$28M allocation, which you are referring to, is for the total Fire Service for the County, which does not specifically include the contract with the City. The contracted amount for the City is what we are speaking to, and where he has communicated they are a couple million dollars short.

Ms. Myers inquired if we are suggesting the contract be varied through the budget process. There is a contract amount, which is what the City has told us we have to pay. If the Chief is saying he needs a contract variance, she does not sure this is the proper process.

Chief Jenkins stated what he asked for, to operate the Fire Service, is \$23M. When he inquired about their budget for the current year, he was provided 2 different numbers; \$20.5M and \$21M, so he is confused now. Either way, he is going to be short of what he needs to operate the Fire Service. He stated he is here to run an adequate Fire Service. In spite of what you may have heard, we have an adequate fire service, for what is being funded. They cannot be a Class II, based on our operations. When he requests \$23M, that is not for fleet, it is personnel. In their contract, it states whatever the City funds their firefighters, the County has to reciprocate, and that is what he is trying to do. He stated that last year he had to run trucks short because of the budget. If he cannot get the funding he needs for the Fire Service, he is going to have to run trucks short this year. He stated there are 11 grant-funded positions in Ms. Myers' area, and he will have to remove those positions, because he will not have the funding to do it. He does not want to ride short, it becomes a safety issue.

Ms. McBride stated, if we are falling short, then we need to take another look at the budget.

Ms. Newton stated, for clarification, that Chief Jenkins said the County is obligated to match funding from the City of Columbia.

Chief Jenkins stated the funding does not have to match, but the salaries has to match for the firefighters.

Ms. Newton stated, in order to fulfill that obligation that would require approximately \$2.1M increase, in what we have currently allocated.

Chief Jenkins responded in the affirmative.

Ms. Newton stated Ms. Myers mentioned the survey. We should have survey results in a few months, and then we would come back and revisit. She inquired as to what the implications if we approved the budget, at the currently proposed amount, but then came back with the results of those surveys.

Mr. Hayes stated what is budgeted for the City of Columbia is \$21,762,000. Last year, because they were no longer providing contract repair, we got notice from Mr. Byrd the amount to pay the City would be reduced. The Budget Department sets up the budget, and Mr. Byrd's office sets up the PO. He told Chief Jenkins, based on what was set up on the PO for FY19, they would be paid approximately \$20.5M. This year's budget we said was \$21M. The difference is the \$762,000 for contract repair that they are no longer providing.

Mr. Malinowski stated it seems there are some people that have been made aware of what Chief Jenkins is talking about, prior to tonight, and this is the first he is hearing about it. It seems we should have all been made aware of this well in advance of this meeting, so discussions could have been had. Also, as Ms. Myers said, we are in a contract for fire services, within Richland County, and we are hearing one side of the story. We do not have our representative here to give us why we have this budget amount versus that budget amount. Whether or not the Chief was invited, let's have Mr. Byrd tell us exactly where we are, and why we are doing what we are doing. We should not be arbitrarily funding additional \$2.1M or \$2.6M, without getting Mr. Byrd's side of the story.

Mr. Livingston inquired if the amount based on the same millage rate, as the previous year.

Mr. Hayes stated the millage rate is set by the Auditor, but he gave them the dollar value of it. The maximum amount he gave them is the maximum amount they put into the budget. So much so that they had to go into the fund balance and use \$628,000. They did not know how aggressive Council wanted to be with the fund balance.

Mr. Livingston inquired as to the balance of the Fire Service fund balance.

Mr. Hayes stated it is \$9.6M.

Mr. Livingston inquired as to what is done with the approximate \$7M that does not go to the City of Columbia.

Mr. Hayes stated Mr. Byrd would have to address that question.

Mr. Brown stated Budget provided a breakdown of the total department, including Fire Service.

Mr. Malinowski stated we have a representative that deals with the Fire Service, the City of Columbia, and our contract and we need to abide by that until we find out otherwise.

Ms. Myers stated this is the FY20-21 Fire Budget. We are expecting the analysis to come back from the Third Party contractor in 2 months. We still have time between now and FY20-21 to make adjustments, if they need to be made. She thinks we have time to get Chief Jenkins whole, and have conversations because this budget is for FY21.

Mr. Manning stated he does not appreciate him not getting stuff. He does not know why everyone gets it, and he does not get it. He really does not appreciate being told that he has it, when he does not have it.

Ms. Terracio stated when we are looking at the repairs, and we are talking about the \$760,000, what is the difference between the \$760,000 included in the lump sum appropriations, and the GL account Repairs/Vehicles.



Mr. Hayes stated they actually added that amount, but they did not reduce the amount budgeted for the City. In other words, they went in and grabbed the \$760,000 and put it in Contract Repair Maintenance, but they did not reduce the amount, so those funds are unencumbered. As it stands now, the City would not receive that additional funding, but Council could opt to give them the \$762,000.

Ms. Terracio inquired, according to the contract, are we fulfilling our obligations.

Ms. Terracio made a substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Manning, to maintain the lump sum appropriation at \$21,672,269, and not reduce it by the \$759,853.

Mr. Hayes stated they typically bring this budget back because next year you will have updated mill value numbers, and the revenue number will go up.

Ms. Dickerson stated she believes staff needs to be here when we are discussing the budget. In the future, she hopes we have staff on hand to answer questions.

Ms. Myers made a 2<sup>nd</sup> substitute motion, seconded by Mr. Malinowski, to accept the administrative recommendation, pending the report we will receive in 2 months, and the additional discussion with Chief Jenkins and Michael Byrd.

In Favor: Terracio, Malinowski, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Walker, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Present but Not Voting: Kennedy and Manning

The vote in favor was unanimous.

- 59. Stormwater Management (FY21 – \$4,181,441)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 60. Conservation Commission Fund (FY21 - \$997,991)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 61. Neighborhood Redevelopment Fund (FY21 – \$854,003)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 62. Hospitality Tax (FY21 - \$10,015,237)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 63. Accommodations Tax (FY21 - \$565,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 64. Title IVD – Sheriff's Fund (FY21 – \$55,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 65. Drug Court Program** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 66. Road Maintenance Fee (FY21 - \$7,919,533)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 67. Public Defender (FY21 - \$4,674,030)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 68. Transportation Tax (FY21 - \$69,000,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.
- 69. School Resource Officers (FY21 - \$6,148,303)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.

**70. Economic Development (FY21 - \$2,030,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for #54.

**71. Public Defender (Move to increase the Public Defender’s annual budget by \$517,735 to bring Public Defenders’ salaries in line [decreasing to about 5% deficit from approximately 20%] with the Richland County Solicitor’s Office)** – Ms. Myers moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve this item.

Mr. Manning stated, for clarification, this was to increase salaries. With the other places, with the General Fund, and personnel items, the fact that it is the same amount for the next year.

Mr. Hayes stated because this was a motion to increase salaries at the beginning of the year, the amount is annualized.

In Favor: Jackson, Newton, Myers, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Malinowski and Walker

Present but Not Voting: Kennedy

The vote was in favor.

#### ENTERPRISE FUNDS

**81. Solid Waste Enterprise Fund (FY21 - \$35,357,991)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

**82. Richland County Utilities (FY21 – \$12,858,546)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

**83. Hamilton-Owens Airport (FY21 – \$579,396)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

#### DEBT SERVICE

**72. General Debt Service (FY21 - \$13,902,465)** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve Items #72 - #88.

In Favor: Terracio, Newton, Myers, Kennedy, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Abstain: Malinowski

Present but Not Voting: Jackson and Walker

The vote in favor was unanimous with Mr. Malinowski abstaining from the vote.

**73. Fire Bonds 2018B** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

**74. Hospitality Refund 2013A B/S ( FY21 - \$1,486,400)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

Special Called Meeting

July 18, 2019

- 75. **East Richland Public Srv Dist (FY21 - \$1,438,560)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 76. **Recreation Commission Debt Svc (\$3,236,125)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 77. **Riverbanks Zoo Debt Service (FY21 - \$2,663,381)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 78. **School District 1 Debt Service (FY21 - \$43,661,505)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 79. **School District 2 Debt Service (FY21 - \$58,236,629)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 80. **Transportation** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS (CIP)**

- 84. **Broad River Waste Water Treatment Plant (FY21 - \$2,680,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 85. **Broad River Sewer Collection System (FY21 - \$2,520,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 86. **Lower Richland Sewer Collection System (FY21 - \$420,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 87. **South Region Sewer Expansion** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.
- 88. **South Region Water Expansion (FY21 - \$9,450,000)** – This item was incorporated into the motion for Item #72.

**FY21 BUDGET ORDINANCE**

***An Ordinance to raise revenue, make appropriations, and adopt Biennium Budget II (FY 2020 and FY 2021) for Richland County, South Carolina; authorizing the levying of Ad Valorem property taxes which together with the prior year's carryover and other State Levies and any additional amount appropriated by the Richland County Council prior to July 1, 2020 will provide sufficient revenues for the operations of Richland County Government during the period of the second fiscal year of Biennium II from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (Fiscal Year 2021)*** – Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Dickerson, to approve the budget ordinance.

Ms. Myers requested staff to follow-up on the request from the Solicitor's Office.

In Favor: Terracio, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Opposed: Malinowski, Manning and Walker

The vote was in favor.

**Special Called Meeting**

**July 18, 2019**

Mr. Manning moved, seconded by Ms. Myers, to reconsider this item.

In Favor: Malinowski and Walker

Opposed: Terracio, Jackson, Newton, Myers, Manning, Dickerson, Livingston and McBride

Present but Not Voting: Kennedy

The motion for reconsideration failed.

4. **ADJOURNMENT** – The meeting adjourned at approximately 7:03 PM.