1

# 3

4 5

6 7

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

# RICHLAND COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION May 6, 2019

[Members Present: Jason Branham, Heather Cairns, Beverly Frierson, Stephen Gilchrist, Mettauer Carlisle, David Tuttle, Wallace Brown, Sr.; Absent: Karen Yip, Prentiss McLaurin Called to order: 3:02 pm

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Staff, are we ready? I'd like to call the May 6<sup>th</sup> Planning Commission meeting to order. Please allow me to read into the Record, In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act a copy of the Agenda was sent to radio, TV stations, newspapers, persons requesting notification, and posted on the bulletin board located in the County administration office. So we thank all of you for being here today and we look forward to your participation. First up on our Agenda is our Consent Agenda.

MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, if you'd entertain a motion to approve the Consent Agenda while removing items number 2, 3 and 4. I'll say that again, items number, Case Numbers 015, 016 and 017 would be removed and heard by this Body today. All others would be sent to Council with a recommendation for approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we amend the Agenda accordingly. All in favor signify by raising your hand on the Consent Agenda?

AUDIENCE: Excuse me, I didn't understand what you said.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: So we have a Consent Agenda and what happens is we have cases that have been designated approval. If your Case Number was not mentioned then that means that you are free, your case has been placed on the Consent Agenda and you are free to leave. So in this particular situation Case No. 1.

1 19-014 MA and Case No. 5, Case Number 19-018 MA, we put those two cases on the 2 Consent Agenda. Mr. Tuttle? 3 MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, if I could just elaborate. 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure. MR. TUTTLE: So when there are cases where Staff recommended approval, 5 6 there's no one here in disapproval, and this Body would have the opportunity to pull it 7 out if they disapproved, we're taking those in the interest of time and going and 8 approving those upfront. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah. And we need a second on the Consent Agenda. 10 MS. FRIERSON: I second. 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we 12 approve the Consent Agenda. All in favor signify by raising your hand. 13 MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, 14 Brown. 15 [Approved: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown; Absent: 16 McLaurin, Yip] 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Alright, before we get going here today I 18 certainly want to take a moment to welcome our new Commissioner here. Mr. Branham, 19 thank you for agreeing to be on this Planning Commission, we look forward to working 20 with you and certainly look forward to your participation, so thank you, sir, for being 21 here. 22 MR. BRANHAM: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: You bet, absolutely. Alright, those lights are pretty bright up there today. Okay. First case.

## **CASE NO. 19-015 MA:**

MR. PRICE: The first Item is Case 19-015 MA. The Applicant is David Parr. The location is off of Golden Rod Court. The Applicant is requesting to rezone a little less than 15 acres from Rural to RS-MD. Staff recommends disapproval of this request but this is principally based on the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan designates this as a Neighborhood Low-Density future land use designation. So thus we recommended denial. However, if you're looking at the densities and the uses in the surrounding development which is Cobblestone, the requested zoning would, would actually mirror that development.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, are there any questions for the Staff?

MR. TUTTLE: Yeah, I did have a question. I assume the access would also be
Cobblestone?

MR. PRICE: Yes. And, you know, just on that point, Cobblestone is actually in the Town of Blythewood and this one parcel just so happens to be in the unincorporated area of Richland County, not sure why. So I'm really not sure if there will be any, if Blythewood will look to annex this particular piece, but it would go through Cobblestone development.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, any additional questions for the Staff? Sir, we're about to do the public comment in just a second. Any other questions for the Staff? We do have several person signed up to speak. When we call your name to the podium

please come give us your name and your address for the Record. The Applicant, DavidParr?

#### **TESTIMONY OF DAVID PARR:**

MR. PARR: Yeah, I'm David Parr with Power Engineering and my address is 3117 Wilmot Avenue, Columbia, South Carolina. And I was employed by the property owner to apply for the rezoning and I see the County, as Geo says, disapproves, recommended disapproval but I request that it be granted. Like Geo said too, it's stated in the conclusion that it's surrounded by property with a similar density.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Any questions for Mr. Parr? Thank you, Mr. Parr. Danielle Danowski?

## **TESTIMONY OF DANIELLE DANOWSKI**:

MS. DANOWSKI: Hi, my name is Danielle Danowski. My address is 1025

Primrose Drive which is in Cobblestone, and that's why I'm here. I'm not very good at public speaking, so bear with me. I would just like to say per the Comprehensive Plan that there is no right-of-way for utilities and public streets unless it is through Cobblestone. And the, so the utilities, the roads would be required to go through, like the Town of actual Blythewood, we already talked about, since Cobblestone is in Blythewood Proper, and then enter through Cobblestone Park on, you know, which are private lands. And I just don't, I guess you know, I'm just a layperson so I just don't understand how residents of Cobblestone, do we get an opinion on if we want additional housing attached to our HOA, sharing our amenities, sharing our roads, etc.? So.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Sterling Wagner. And folks, we have two podiums on each side so feel free to use whichever one is closer to you.

MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, if I may ask.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir.

MR. TUTTLE: I have a question for Staff. Geo, would – I just wanna make sure that our purview's clear that we nor the County really get involved with HOA annexations or who joins an HOA or doesn't join an HOA, etc., that would be beyond our scope here today, correct?

MR. PRICE: That is correct.

MR. TUTTLE: Okay. Thank you.

#### **TESTIMONY OF STERLING WAGNER:**

MR. WAGNER: I'm Sterling Wagner, I live also in Cobblestone, on Leyland Cypress Drive which just almost adjoining that rectangular 15 acre area. I've been there about three years now. And a couple things that people have to keep in mind. Being a former engineer as we know there's really very limited access to that property, other than through Cobblestone. The way I look at that is there's a couple things going on, DR Horton is the primary builder in Cobblestone and there's a temporary injunction against DR Horton, they cannot build any future roads because of a covenant that was in the original developer's deed to that property. So this temporary injunction right now until it's decided on, what I'm afraid of is the owner of this parcel and one adjoining parcel — Horton could be structuring a deal to purchase this — what will happen if this gets zoned to medium density it'll be the same as Cobblestone, nobody is gonna put houses on 15 acres, there has to be an ulterior motive here to sell this property, I think, to Horton. And the way I look at it is, back to what you're hearing about the access, I don't think we could afford to utilize the roads that exist in Cobblestone, meaning the cul de sac, to

gain access to this small piece of property. The thing I look at as an engineer, if you have a fire you have to get large fire trucks in there and you don't want them running through a cul de sac if you don't have to. So that's basically what my concerns are.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. We certainly appreciate that. Alright, that's all we have signed up to speak. Comments on this case? Mr. Tuttle?

MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Chairman, if I could start a little discussion. You know, regardless of the density level seems to me the access is pretty limited and is gonna come from one direction. So I'm in favor since the, if you come to that conclusion which I have, then the density is relatively the same as Cobblestone so it kinda makes sense that the density adjacent should be similar to Cobblestone. So I would go ahead and make a motion that Case 19-015 MA get sent forward to Council with a recommendation of approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And of course that approval is subject to –

MR. TUTTLE: Yeah, because it goes against the Comprehensive Plan I think however – because the Comprehensive Plan is kind of a 10,000' view, clearly when you get down to parcel by parcel I think it's very logical that you could assume the Comprehensive Plan missed this parcel and it's logical it could be zoned the same density as the adjacent parcel. And that would be why I go against Staff's recommendation.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. We have a motion, is there a second?

MS. CAIRNS: I'll second the motion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we send Case No. 19-015 MA forward to Council with a recommendation of approval based upon Mr. Tuttle's recommendation. All in favor signify by raising your hand.

MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Cairns, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Those opposed?

MR. PRICE: Those opposed: Branham, Frierson, Brown.

[Approved: Cairns, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle; Opposed: Branham, Frierson, Brown;

Absent: Yip, McLaurin]

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And again, we are a recommending Body to County Council and they will meet back in these Chambers again on May 28th. Feel free to come back at that time. Thank you. Okay, next case.

## **CASE NO. 19-016 MA:**

MR. PRICE: Alright, the next item is Case 19-016 MA. The Applicant is Torrey Rush, the location is 698 Kelly Mill Road. The Applicant is requesting to rezone a little less than three acres, about 2.9, from Rural to Rural Commercial. Staff recommends disapproval of this request. We feel it's not consistent with the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan. Also we feel that this request, approval of this request would constitute leapfrogging and also the plan also discourages strip commercial development along corridors. We try to keep them, you know, try to have a nice pattern to development. Also, I apologize for this, that it's also not located within an intersection of a primary arterial. So for these reasons Staff recommends denial.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, any questions for the Staff? We have a couple persons signed up to speak, the Applicant, Torrey Rush?

#### **TESTIMONY OF TORREY RUSH:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. RUSH: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and other Members of Commission, it's good to see you all. I do understand Staff's perspective as it relates to the way they look at this rezoning. They look it as from a black and white standpoint, meaning that this is the ordinance that the County sent forward and based on that ordinance that's what they're following. But however, I think that as we look at growth, and one thing that we looked at when we were looking at this particular parcel is that the growth of this area, when you look at 2000, in the year 2000 the amount of growth in that area was nonexistent. When you look at the growth in that area now with Lake Carolina expanding. some of the other residential areas are expanding, you can see the fingerprints of growth going in that area. It's inevitable that we're gonna have growth in that area and throughout the County, and I think as we look at the Comprehensive Plan how do we look at it in a, as a living document as opposed to just a static document that we, we – I understand we adhere to but looking at it as a living document to understand that over time there's growth if we want this County to continue to grow and be what we say we want it to be. One thing I did go out and speak to one of the residents in that area who actually lives adjacent to the property and just to get her perspective of it, and I understand that she's against it as well, but at the same time I don't think it's a sometimes in these arguments it's an us versus them. I think it's more so when you start talking about commercial versus residential, when you start looking at economic development in this County we've got to be sensitive to the fact that we need both and we need both to work together in some way, shape, form or fashion. And we need commercial to offset some of the services and needs of this County as well, so I do, like

1 I said, although I understand Staff's perspective I do feel that with the amount of growth

- 2 in that area that it warrants a level of commercial to service those individuals as well.
- 3 And I understand that's my time. I do have a copy of this map if that's okay to pass it
- 4 | around. It's just, and it's small so you'll be able to see it, it just shows you the greatest
- 5 growth in that area.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Rush, if you'll give us your address for the Record, please.

MR. RUSH: Oh, I'm sorry about that. I should've known that, Mr. Chair. Torrey Rush, 409 Burnwood Court, Columbia 29203.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir.

MR. RUSH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Any questions for the Applicant? William Rikerds, Jr.?

# **TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM RIKERDS, JR.:**

MR. RIKERDS: My name's William David Rikerds, Jr. I live on Robinhood Road which is adjacent to this piece of property. I can understand wanting to increase services for the people in that area, but on Robinhood Road down at the other end of that, which is seven blocks long, there is another establishment in, being put together right now, being built now, doing the same that this gentleman wants to do. Seven blocks up on the other end of the street. So from there seven blocks, which is actually in Kershaw County cause Robinhood Road is about halfway Richland County and, I'm sorry, and Kershaw County. But that whole area would be serviced by that place on the other end of our road. So we don't need two whatever businesses, I've been told it's a filling station/convenience stores, we don't need two within a seven block area. That, I

1 | don't feel like. Second of all this is a neighborhood. This is our neighborhood.

Everybody in that neighborhood has well water. And from my understanding the business that he wants to put out there, there's always a chance of the well water, the water underground being contaminated with pollutants like gasoline, oil and that kinda thing that we understand is what it's gonna be put here. I may be wrong about that, but if that is the case we're worried about well water contamination. You're talking about the whole neighborhood, the whole area, down through Lake Carolina and everything. Lake Carolina is just right on the other side there. And we all on the same water aquifer going

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you. Chapman? What's your first name, Mr. Chapman?

down through there. Thank you so much, that's all I have to bring. Thank you.

MR. CHAPMAN: Douglas.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Douglas, okay. Please give us your name and address for the Record.

# **TESTIMONY OF DOUGLAS CHAPMAN:**

MR. CHAPMAN: Yes, sir. Douglas Chapman, I'm at 702 Kelly Mill Road, Elgin, South Carolina 29045. I'm actually directly across from where this 2.9 acres is in the middle of our neighborhood. I want to point out also with this piece of property there's houses all the way around, so we have neighbors in our neighborhood that completely surrounds this property. We have a house behind this property that actually keeps children. I have two grandchildren at my house. I'm concerned about noise, I'm concerned about traffic, and I'm also concerned about crime. Now we may not be a Lake Carolina like we're talking about extending service, we may not be a Crickentree,

but we're actually a neighborhood that children that play there, we have well water to take care of our drinking water, and I think there's actually no need to take in the middle of the neighborhood and put a commercial piece of property there. We've already been one time where it tried to go full commercial and now we're doing this again, but I'm telling you in light of the neighborhood and the people that live there every day, this would be detrimental to this entire neighborhood and the people. It wouldn't be a matter of progress, it would be a matter of damaging the neighborhood and what we have there. I think it would increase crime also having a commercial there. Probably the lights from the facility would probably shine into my bedroom window, that's how close the property is. But I strongly oppose this and hopefully you Commissioners will agree that we need to disapprove this. And I thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Mr. Chapman. Shirley Yon?

# **TESTIMONY OF SHIRLEY YON:**

MS. YON: My name is Shirley Yon and I live at 703 Kelly Mill Road, and I'm the lady that Mr. Rush came out to see. And from what I understand a Dollar General is what they're wanting to put there. And it is directly across from my place and my sister's place. And like they were talking about the crime there with a commercial place there, the taxes are high enough in Richland County without a putting a commercial place there and raising ours. We do have small children around there. It is a neighborhood and this piece of land is directly in the middle of it. As he said the light from it would shine directly into my bedroom. And before they wanted to put a gas station there when I was here a few months back, and now it's a Dollar General is the way I understand it. And I, the traffic through there is already bad because people use our road as a bypass

to get to the interstate. And the traffic is terrible. To put a business right there, I can't hardly get outta my driveway now because of the traffic. And I think it would just make it 10 times worse and I'm totally against it. Again, the well water that we have, everybody has wells, I don't wanna have to dish out money if they decide to put county water through there or whatever. I can't afford it. But again, I'm against anything going up there. And our community has grown, there have been people that have moved in in the last year, built houses there, and we have schools on that land, the road that I live on. But I don't think we need a commercial property of a Dollar General right across from us. And that's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Ms. Yon.

MS. YON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Appreciate you being here. That's all we have signed up to speak. Any comments on this case? Alright so I do have a question. This traffic study that was done by DOT in the case of roads operating at a Level B, what does that mean?

MR. DELAGE: So the traffic counts that SCDOT does is done over a period of time, it's just an average daily trips. The level of services are broken down into, from the functional classification, so if you're operating at a Level of Service A, B or C, you're either functioning kinda where there's either additional capacity or it's operated below capacity, and then C it's functioning at capacity for its intended design. Once you get beyond C it means that it's additional traffic and it's handling more cars than it was intended to actually, or designed to handle.

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: You would say that currently this road is operating 2 below capacity, is that right? MR. DELAGE: According to DOT's traffic count. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you, sir. 5 MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Any additional comments on this case? Any motions? 7 Everybody don't speak at once. Motion, comments? You know, I'll make one other 8 comment about this particular case and it kinda goes back to Mr. Tuttle's point earlier 9 just about the 30,000' perspective on the Comprehensive Plan and more specifically 10 how the Plan while it certainly is a guide that we use, the guestion becomes, is it 11 consistent with where we want to develop in the County given some of the unique 12 growth patterns we've experienced. For example, I've heard a couple of times in this 13 case the reference to Lake Carolina, how far is Lake Carolina from this spot in terms of 14 mileage or whatever? We have some snazzy technology here everybody so I hope 15 you're enjoying this display here today. 16 MR. DELAGE: So according to a straight line shot using the internet mapping 17 service it's roughly 1130' away as the crow flies. 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. It used to be some commercial capacity within 19 Lake Carolina, is that right, at some point? 20 MR. PRICE: Yes. Yes, there is. 21 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. And this is about 1100', Mr. Tommy, from where 22 we're talking about?

MR. DELAGE: That would just be the boundary of Lake Carolina. The commercial uses within Lake Carolina would be located further west/northwest of the particular location.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you, sir. Any additional comments on this?

MS. CAIRNS: I think, I don't have any answers by any means but I just, what I see in this is I think that I've often spoken about the frustration of strip commercial running down streets. Obviously the reverse of that is an occasional commercial spot by itself. I have no feel for whether or not this corner is a good place for one of those oddball commercial spots that can be very helpful for a neighborhood. At the same time this is, you know, being beyond the edges of Lake Carolina, it is its own sort of rural, what I call sort of the rural/residential, semi-country living. So what I don't have any feel for is whether or not, you know, is this magical corner that can allow some commercial or is it just like you gotta be kidding me, we're sticking commercial in the middle of residential. I don't think any of us here [inaudible].

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I totally agree, Heather, and -

MS. CAIRNS: I got cut off there, but I mean, I, so I've been trying to sort of look on the map on my uber big device here to get a feel for, you know, how far away is commercial. But I mean, I can offer to the Commission and anyone here my inclination is that this should stay in a residential zoning classification. I know that it's not significantly far to commercial, I mean, what is it, Sandhills, it looked like Sandhills by car was about a five mile trek as I asked Google Maps to map that. But to me the information that would be useful – cause I agree with the idea that when we do these,

the Comp Plan, we do put effort into trying to be accurate on a level that we'll be answering questions to but that doesn't mean we always get it right. But at the same time the analysis that I think would be interesting to hear would be, you know, what is a reasonable saturation of commercial, how close is this to some level of saturation cause this is clearly not, like the boonies, I mean, this isn't people who necessarily expect to drive forever to get to a grocery store, but at the same time a lot of development has come close. So I'm sure the people in these homes are closer to commercial development than they were for a lotta years. But I think that's all my comments.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Ms. Heather. Mr. Price?

MS. FRIERSON: I have some comments. I am familiar — is it on? Okay. I am familiar with the area because I live very close to that area, I'm in Lake Carolina. I listened to what the people stated when they testified or brought forth their statements and of course I read the recommendations from Staff. I'm concerned about the leapfrogging, the strip development, etc., but I'm mainly concerned also about what was stated about potential contamination of the water in that the aquifer would potentially damage where I live in Lake Carolina. And it was mentioned also of schools in that area, there are about three that are very close. And in terms of the traffic I listened to what was stated earlier about the A, B, and C designations, and you know, with traffic sometimes it's horrible at certain times of the day. But there's something that was not mentioned and that's the curvature of those roads and the people that brought forth testimony about it being in a residential area are actually giving you accurate information. And that's what I have to offer and after comments then I'd make a motion.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, thank you, Mr. Frierson. Mr. Tuttle?

1 MR. TUTTLE: Yeah, so you know, it's hard cause the Neighborhood Commercial 2 designation is designed for areas that aren't overly populated, it's not [inaudible] 3 otherwise it would be GC on Two Notch Road. And obviously we have that classification 4 because there are demands for neighborhood centers. You can see quite a few of them 5 as you ride around. I think a very good point was made, you know, which corner is 6 appropriate, which corner isn't if you buy into Neighborhood Commercial as viable in a 7 somewhat rural area. I'm pretty familiar with the area obviously, there's a good bit of 8 traffic. Land planners would also make a case that if you have the right neighborhood 9 center it actually somewhat reduces traffic because you don't have cars going past 10 there to go to a more populated area to get certain services that might be provided here. 11 So therefore they get closer to the home, they don't have to travel as far so you have 12 less cars on the road. I see all sides of the argument, I think it's a really tight one but I 13 think I would make a recommendation to move Case 19-016 MA forward to Council with 14 a recommendation for approval. And I'm gonna fall back on the same logic we generally 15 do when we disagree with the Comp Plan, is that the Comp Plan takes a much larger, 16 higher view than specific parcel by parcel and I think given the traffic counts in this 17 location that it is reasonable that this could be Neighborhood Commercial. 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Is there a second? Yes sir, Mr. Price? 19 MR. PRICE: The request is for Rural Commercial. 20 [Inaudible]

21

MR. PRICE: You're correct.

22

MR. BRANHAM: My packet says RC.

23

MR. TUTTLE: The picture must be mislabeled.

1 MR. PRICE: The request, and I apologize, but the request is for Rural 2 Commercial and that's going by the Application actually filled out by the Applicant and 3 also based on Staff's review of this, we reviewed this for a Rural Commercial. So I 4 apologize for the Neighborhood Commercial that you may see on the aerials, but all of 5 our recommendations, our review of the Comp Plan and also the request from the 6 Applicant was for Rural Commercial. 7 MR. TUTTLE: I think that even furthers the argument I would make. So I'll keep 8 my motion the same, thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Is there a second on this motion? And I know 10 Ms. Frierson had a motion as well. Is there a second on this one? There's no second, 11 this motion dies for lack of second. Is there an additional motion? Ms. Frierson? 12 MS. FRIERSON: Mr. Chairperson, thank you. I'd like to make a motion that Case 13 No. 19-016 MA be sent forward to County Council with a recommendation of 14 disapproval as suggested by Staff for the reasons stated earlier. Do I need to restate 15 them? 16 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: It's, Staff recommends disapproval based upon the 17 Comp -18 MS. FRIERSON: That's what I'm recommending as well. Do I need to state the 19 reasons again or just leave it like that? 20 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I think you can leave it. 21 MS. FRIERSON: Okay. 22 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Is there a second? 23 MR. BRANHAM: Second.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we send Case No. 19-016 MA forward to Council with disapproval. All in favor signify by raising your hand.

MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Carlisle, Brown.

MR. PRICE: Those in favor [sic]: Gilchrist, Tuttle.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: All in favor [sic]?

[Approved to deny: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Carlisle, Brown; Opposed: Gilchrist,

Tuttle; Absent: Yip, McLaurin]

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And again, we are a recommending Body to County Council. They will meet back in these Chambers on May 28<sup>th</sup>. Thank you.

AUDIENCE: Sir, could I say something?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: We've closed it out, ma'am. Thank you, we appreciate it. Thanks. Alright, next case.

# **CASE NO. 19-017 MA**:

MR. PRICE: Okay. Let me get this right this time. The next case is 19-017 MA. The Applicant is Kevin Wimberly. The location is off of Rabon Road, I can expound upon that a little bit later. The Applicant is requesting to rezone a little more than 37 acres from RS-MD which is single-family residential, medium density, to RM-MD which is residential multi-family, medium density. Staff recommends approval of this particular request upon review of the Comprehensive Plan we find that the Comprehensive Plan recommends a desired development pattern of medium density residential neighborhoods designed to provide a mix of residential uses and densities within the neighborhoods. The proposed zoning of RM-MD would allow for a mixture of housing

types and densities as prescribed by the Comprehensive Plan. So for those reasons Staff recommends approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, any questions for Staff? We have a couple of persons signed up to speak. Again, when we call your name please come up, give us your name and your address for the Record. Kevin Wimberly, the Applicant?

#### **TESTIMONY OF KEVIN WIMBERLY:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. WIMBERLY: Good afternoon. Kevin Wimberly, my address is 6 Shadow Way Court, Columbia 29223. I'm here today representing SC Uplift Community Outreach to request the approval to change the zoning of the parcel off Rabon Road from RS-MD to RM-MD. This change would allow the development of approximately 18 of the 37 acres into a royal village of independent living housing for adults 55 and older that would allow them to age in place. The 90-unit development will combine independent living, social and community engagement, and the latest technology with the latest principles in age-friendly design to create sustainable living solutions. These will be one and two bedroom homes ranging from 550 square feet to no more than 950 square feet. We're also looking to develop an onsite community center that will provide services for exercising, a health room, dining, barbershop, salon, and other activities. The location conveniently near medical services and shopping we feel is idea for an older adult who is wanting to downsize to a smaller home. We also believe this type of development resembles that of the surrounding communities, as these are not apartment complexes nor high rise units, and aligns with the Richland County Comprehensive Plan. We met with the Folk Stone community on March 14th and had a follow up meeting with a few representatives of May 3<sup>rd</sup>, along with one member from

the Charleswood neighborhood. We're planning to meet with the Folk Stone neighborhood on this Thursday, May 9<sup>th</sup>, and we'll be speaking with the Charleswood community on May 16<sup>th</sup> to address their concerns. We're requesting their input as we develop community guidelines and would like to be able to adopt similar guidelines they have in place to ensure the development will be a safe and quiet community for all in the area. We're wanting these communities to know that we're invested in the success of this development for years to come and ask them to be a part of this success with their input. SC Uplift Community Outreach wholeheartedly seeks to be proactive in sustainable solution and model that gives older adults the best opportunity to live independently and I ask that the Commission approve this zoning change.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, any questions for the Applicant? Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, any questions for the Applicant? Thank you, sir. Daisy Lock?

MS. CAIRNS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to actually ask Staff some questions real quick.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure, go right ahead, Ms. Cairns.

MS. CAIRNS: Mr. Price, so the Applicant described what sounded like single-family housing but the request is for multi-family housing. Can you please explain the –

MR. PRICE: Yes. The RM-MD districts are actually both of our multi-family designations, medium density and high density, allow for multi-family uses but also allows for single-family developments.

MS. CAIRNS: So why did not the existing medium density, single-family fit?

MR. PRICE: Okay. Yeah, okay this is when – when we meet with the applicant and, of course, we kinda go over what it is they're proposing, and again, the applicant

does not have to tell us exactly what they're going to do. Sometimes they don't have an idea. But based on the type of project that they're proposing, they needed to have the multi-family designation as opposed to the single-family. The single-family district really allows single-family housing and that's pretty much it. The multi-family, while it will allow multi-family developments it also allows single-family, but there're also other type uses that are allowed within that designation; could be duplexes, you can do duets, you can do assisted living facilities, other type institutional uses, within that designation. So essentially single-family would not work for what the Applicant was proposing.

MS. CAIRNS: Thanks.

MR. PRICE: Also, maybe this'll help out, too. Also to do a single-family development would also require, you know, and I hate to kinda get into the development aspect of this, but also would require the construction of a road. And typically for certain projects that really eats into the bottom line to have to construct roads, because each lot would have to be on its own individual, each home would have to be on its own individual parcel, so that was another reason why.

MS. CAIRNS: So some of this also just sort of ownership then, cause it's all gonna be, it's not fee simple ownership, it's just –

MR. PRICE: I think he can expound upon that, because there are some cases where right, they may actually just own just the building itself, you know, kinda like a condo –

MS. CAIRNS: A condo style.

MR. PRICE: - and everything else is part of the association or common area.

MS. CAIRNS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Go right ahead.

## **TESTIMONY OF DAISY LOCK:**

MS. LOCK: Good afternoon. My name is Daily Lock, I live off of Rabon Road, and my address is 609 Folkstone Road, zip 29223. I'm here to approve the Royal Village because I would never be able enough to afford it in the first place when they quote how much it would be, but I'm for the ones that need that independent living and that assistance living, what they was offered. And that's about all I have to say, I'm for it.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, thank you for being here. Okay, that's all we have signed up to speak. Are there any additional comments, questions or motions? Yes, sir?

MR. BROWN: I'd like to move that 19-017 MA be moved forward to County Council with the recommendation of approval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Is there a second?

MS. FRIERSON: I second.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. It's been moved and properly seconded that we send Case No. 19-017 MA forward to Council with a recommendation of approval. All in favor signify by raising your hand.

MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown.

[Approved: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown; Absent: Yip, McLaurin]

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And again, I think that's a unanimous vote, we are a recommending Body to County Council. They will meet back in these chambers again on May the 28th. Thank you. Next case.

MR. PRICE: That was it for the cases.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Oh yeah, that is it, okay good. We're moving along real fast. Other Business.

MR. PRICE: Yes. I sent each one of you an email about a training that's upcoming, I believe it's on the 14<sup>th</sup>. It's gonna be at the South Carolina Bar Association. Just let me know if you'll be interested in attending. I was just gonna try to register everybody one time. So I'll send you out a reminder also.

MS. FRIERSON: Of this month?

MR. PRICE: Yes, ma'am, for May. But I'll send you out a reminder also.

MR. BROWN: Those of us who are short termers don't need to attend that, is that correct?

MR. PRICE: Well, you know, I'm not sure exactly, Mr. Brown. We might wanna keep you. But no, sir, you and Mr. Tuttle would not necessarily need to attend those, that training session. And kind of in line I guess with that, looking at the upcoming County Council Agenda, I think as we've discussed, there are four positions on the Planning Commission that are up; two of them, Mr. Tuttle and Mr. Brown have exceeded their terms, and two of them, Mrs. Frierson and Mrs. Yip are eligible to come back for reappointment. Looking at the upcoming, the County Council Agenda, which is tomorrow, I did not see any applications or actually just didn't really see any item for the appointment of the Planning Commission on there, so I am hoping that they will address

1 that on the 21<sup>st</sup> with the Rules and Appointments Committee with interviews and also 2 then bring it forth to Council at the meeting on the 21st. If not, Mr. Brown and Mr. Tuttle 3 and Ms. Frierson, you can expect another package at your door at the end of the month. MS. FRIERSON: Geo, you refer to me sometimes as Miss Frierson and Mrs. 4 5 Frierson. 6 MR. PRICE: I apologize. 7 MS. FRIERSON: I am Ms. Frierson. 8 MR. PRICE: Okay. Ms. Frierson. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Uh-oh. [Laughter] 10 MS. FRIERSON: Unless I got a husband out there that I know not of. [Laughter] 11 MR. PRICE: I apologize, Ms. Frierson. 12 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Let's duly note that, Commissioners. 13 MS. FRIERSON: You know I love you and I'm messing with you, but that's true. 14 MR. TUTTLE: At least we know where the wood shed is now. 15 MS. FRIERSON: What'd you say, David? 16 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: We know where the wood shed is now. Alright. 17 MR. PRICE: But that's it for Other Business from Staff. 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: The rewrite. 19 MR. DELAGE: So, and I apologize, Mr. Brown may not have received this email, 20 but an email went out on Friday talking about the update to Module 1. You know, Staff 21 of course appreciates all the hard work and past efforts that have been put into Module 22 1. One of the things that we wanna do though is make sure that we are addressing all of 23 your concerns, not only from a standpoint that we would like to eventually take Module 1

before Council, but the fact that if there is a major substantial change to Module 1 based upon those recommendations, that could potentially delay Module 2 because Module 1 and Module 2 are tied together. So if there's a substantial change then we're gonna have to address that in Module 2, and that is currently proposed to be released this summer. That date is gonna really depend on if there's any major changes that are gonna cause any sections to have to be, you know, restructured or maybe altered based upon that feedback. So Staff was recommending that we either look at having a separate work session or either taking, we can even take a look at it today cause it's an item for action, or we could also take it up on the June Planning Commission meeting as well. Those are some potential options that Staff threw out.

MR. TUTTLE: If I may since there's a potential change possibly on the 27<sup>th</sup>, [inaudible].

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, we certainly can -

MS. FRIERSON: I second that.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: So we'll postpone it until the June meeting, I'm cool with that. Is that it?

MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir, that was it. So, and just for clarification, you know, we just wanna make sure that we advertise it and have it on there, so the intent would be at the June meeting to take a look at Module 1, you know, we'd be open suggestions on whether we would go through that as a comment and response or, you know, potentially going through the document, so.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: The date is?

MR. DELAGE: That is –

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: It's graduation season so I know it's getting to we have 2 that issue. So do we need a motion to defer? 3 MR. TUTTLE: We have a motion. 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Second? 5 MS. FRIERSON: Yeah, I second it. 6 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, all in favor signify by raising your hand. 7 MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, 8 Brown. 9 [Approved: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown; Absent: Yip, 10 McLaurin] 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That's it, Tommy, on that? 12 MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, thank you. Chairman's Report, just real quick 14 want to again welcome Commissioner Branham to the Commission. Anything you 15 wanna say to us? 16 MR. BRANHAM: As far as I understand I'm taking the seat that was vacated by 17 Mr. Greenleaf. I had the opportunity to speak with him once after one of these meetings, 18 he was very gracious in taking the time to speak with me. He made a real contribution 19 on this Commission but I know he made other great contributions to the community as 20 well in the things that he did. So I feel very honored to have known him and to serve 21 with you now in his absence. So just [inaudible]. 22 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure, well we're delighted that you're here. Absolutely, 23 thank you for being here. Just one other point of reference just so that everybody

knows, it's my understand Commissioner Yip, her mother had a stroke? Yes, and so let's keep her and her family in our prayers going forward. The only thing that I wanna bring up today on the Chairman's Report is our little retreat that we've had. Mr. Tuttle has offered to host us at Lake Carolina and so I'll ask, and I know we've talked about this a couple of times before, I found out something else today that's quite interesting, I learned that Tommy is a great cook. And so we, our friend Tommy here, I understand he's a big time cook, so we got a caterer so we're halfway there.

MS. FRIERSON: He's gonna grill us something. Salmon, steak.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: But if I may indulge you to, at our June meeting let's begin to plan that for later this, particularly since we're having some new Commissioners joining us and that'll give us an opportunity to really plan and bring everybody up to speed on what we've done. I thought our last retreat was really good. The only other point I would just make, this June 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting on the rewrite will be very, very important, so let's plan to spend some time here going through that, and I'm assuming the consultants will be here, too, is that right?

MR. DELAGE: They will not, it'll be Staff.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Oh, really? Okay, alright good.

MS. CAIRNS: Our personal consultants, right?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah. Okay, well very good. So you'll have to endure us for a little while on that, but think it'll a good opportunity for us to – so I'll ask all Commissioners to begin to review as much of that as you can in preparation for that meeting on June the 34rd. That's all I have. Planning Director's Report.

PLANNING DIRECTOR: Yes, sir, you have it in front of you I believe, in your packet.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright. Direct everyone's attention to the items that were approved on the 23<sup>rd</sup> of April. We're glad that you're here, you're getting broken in well we hope. And so thanks for joining the ranks. And let me just take a moment, another moment to say to all the Staff, thank you for what you do. We know we bug you quite a bit and we ask you to help us with things that either we should understand or sometimes we don't understand. But we really appreciate what you do to keep us in check so thank you for that. Can't thank you enough for that. Alright, is there anything else? Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: Yeah, just wanna go back. I know we just talked about this, we deferred, I guess the Land Development Code for Module 1 to June, correct? I guess one of my concerns and we've kinda talked about this as a Staff, was potentially we could have four new Members on there and I'm hoping, you know, I think, I wanna go ahead and give a pat on the back to Mr. Branham, cause I know he's actually been following a lotta these things prior to even coming on to the Planning Commission, so he didn't come in just totally blind to this. But you know, potentially we could have four new Members on there who really, if they haven't been following what's been going on with Richland County with this, we may actually have to use part of June to actually bring them up to speed prior to, you know, us taking any action, so. Just wanna put that out for thought.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I know there was a discussion about having a work session. And I'm open to that if the Commission wants to do that. I mean, I know that –

1 MS. CAIRNS: I think it's partly timing though, like we may have four new 2 Members. And we need to have – but we wouldn't have them before, would we have 3 them at all before? 4 MR. PRICE: There's a good chance we could have the four new Members for the 5 June meeting. 6 MS. CAIRNS: But not before the June meeting. 7 MR. PRICE: The 21st. 8 MS. CAIRNS: Of May. 9 MR. TUTTLE: So Mr. Price, in your tenure here in Richland County has there 10 ever been four Planning Commissioners approved in one month? 11 MR. PRICE: Not that I'm aware of. 12 MR. TUTTLE: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah. Well, you know, let's play that by ear. I mean, 14 we have been waiting how long to get Mr. Branham? 15 MR. PRICE: Right. 16 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yeah, so I don't wanna hold my breath on that. But if 17 we happen to have that happen then I guess we can try to figure out how we address 18 that at that time, with maybe even having some of the new Members brought in to do 19 like you did when I first got on the Planning Commission, bring you in and just have a 20 conversation about where we are. And what are some of the major priorities and more 21 specifically some of the key points and the highlights of the – and you know, one of the 22 things that, and I'll say this to Mr. Tuttle and Mr. Brown and Ms. Frierson, but for those

who have been on this Commission, I mean, I think we need to create some type of

23

1	venue for these folks to continue to be engaged, and so I hope you will consider doing					
2	that because your voice would be extremely valuable, and has been, in this two year					
3	process. So I don't know what that looks like and I don't know what it means, but I think					
4	we need to think about that because I do think that that's critical. And it is a major					
5	learning curve for folk that will be new coming in, particularly for those who have not					
6	paid attention, so that's just something to think about. But I think we just play it by ear					
7	and see what happens. Yes, sir?					
8	MR. DELAGE: And I was gonna add, Mr. Chairman, that there's also the					
9	potential for our stakeholder committees so we've had some change over over the					
10	years since it's kind of been a two year plus process, so we may be looking to fill					
11	additional seats. So that could be another option for those Members as well, so.					
12	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Good. Is there a motion to adjourn?					
13	MR. TUTTLE: Motion to adjourn.					
14	MR. BROWN: Second.					
15	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, thank you everybody.					
16	MR. PRICE: Y'all gonna vote on that adjournment just, you know, to do it right?					
17	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Everybody signify by raising your hand.					
18	MR. PRICE: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown.					
19	[Approved: Cairns, Branham, Frierson, Gilchrist, Carlisle, Tuttle, Brown; Absent: Yip,					
20	McLaurin]					
21	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank y'all.					
22						
23	[Meeting adjourned at 4:00pm]					