1 RICHLAND COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 June 1, 2020 Zoom Meeting 3 4 [Members Present: Jason Branham, Heather Cairns, Stephen Gilchrist, Mettauer Carlisle, Christopher Yonke, Gary Dennis, Jr., Bryan Grady, Terrence Taylor, Beverly Frierson] 5 6 Called to order: 3:04 pm 7 MR. PRICE: Hello? Hello? 8 MR. DELAGE: Hey, Mr. Price. 9 MR. PRICE: Hey, how you doing? Let me turn something down. Okay, can you 10 hear me? Hello? 11 MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir. 12 MR. PRICE: Okay, just wanted to make sure everybody can – can everybody 13 hear me? It looks like we have a quorum here. Okay, so is everybody able to participate 14 over the audio? Alright, so Heather – cause if you have – Mr. Grady, can you hear? 15 MR. GRADY: Yes, sir. 16 MR. PRICE: Okay, Mr. Yonke? 17 MR. YONKE: Yes, I can hear. 18 MR. PRICE: Mr. Taylor. 19 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, sir. 20 MR. PRICE: Okay. Mr. Carlisle? He's not here. Mr. Crooks? 21 MR. CROOKS: Here. 22 MR. PRICE: Mr. Branham? 23 MR. BRANHAM: I'm here. 24 MR. PRICE: Okay, Mr. Gilchrist? Mr. Gilchrist? Okay. And Tommy DeLage, you 25 can hear me, correct?

1 MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir.

MR. PRICE: Okay, so we're just waiting for the Chair to get on board. I know he's been trying. Yes, I'm here, I'm trying to get in contact with the Chair. Okay, I see you now, Mr. Chair. Can you hear us?

FEMALE SPEAKER: It appears Mr. Gilchrist and Mr. Carlisle do not have audio, they have video but no audio joined.

MR. PRICE: Okay.

FEMALE SPEAKER: And they need to dial in with a phone.

MR. PRICE: Okay, the Chair is reaching out now to come in by audio.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Stephen Gilchrist.

MR. DELAGE: Good afternoon, Mr. Gilchrist.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Good afternoon. Are we ready to rock and roll here?

MR. DELAGE: I believe so whenever you're ready to call the meeting to order.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. I'm ready to go. Okay, we'd like to call the June 1st meeting to order. Please allow me to read into the Record. In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act a copy of the Agenda was sent to radio, TV stations, newspapers, and persons requesting notification, and posted on the bulletin board located in the County administration building. So we thank all of you for joining us here today on our Zoom call, our first Zoom call, and I wanna thank the Staff for being able to get all of our Commissioners connected to this opportunity and to the public. And hopefully in the future we may be able to do more of these kinds of interactions with the public, so thank you for being a part of our Agenda today. The first item on our Agenda

1 is our Consent Agenda. Can I get a motion from our Commissioners on the Consent 2 Agenda, please? 3 MS. CAIRNS: I know this is usually when I sort of step in and ask if there's 4 anyone, anybody that wants to remove – we have on the Consent Agenda to address 5 the Minutes, the Road Names and then Map Amendments. So we will pull from the 6 Consent Agenda Item 1 as well as Item3 and Item 7, so those are ones that Staff did 7 disapproval. Are there any other of the Agenda items that we need to pull? And that's 8 either input from other Commission Members or if the public voiced opposition. 9 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, hearing none is there a motion on the Consent 10 Agenda? 11 MS. CAIRNS: Just – okay, so I will make a motion that we approve the Consent 12 Agenda as offered in our packet, removing from the Consent Agenda Map Amendment 13 Case 1, 3 and 7. 14 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, is there a second? 15 MR. BRANHAM: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded. All in 17 favor signify by raising your hand, and I guess we can see each other. 18 MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair? 19 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Mr. Price? 20 MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair, I'm sorry I was muted. I would, just for clarification we 21 wanna make sure that we understand that Case 20-013 MA, which is Item Number c.3., 22 the Applicant had requested a deferral of that matter and that has been deferred so that 23

would not need to be under the Consent Agenda.

1 MS. CAIRNS: Okay. 2 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. 3 MR. PRICE: And also under the Minutes, and I think you may have stated this, 4 but for the presentation of Minutes for approval, you would just be approving the 5 February 2020 Minutes, not the March 2020 because those were not given to you. 6 MS. CAIRNS: I thought I got two packets? But okay. 7 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Alright, so Heather do you wanna restate your 8 motion? 9 MS. CAIRNS: I'll go ahead and amend the motion, so I make a motion to 10 approve the Consent Agenda, offering that we'll be approving the February Minutes, 11 pulling from the Agenda Case No. 20-010, Map Amendment 1, as well as Case No. 20-12 107, Map Amendment 7. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, and is there a second? 14 MR. BRANHAM: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, all in favor signify by raising your hand. 16 MR. PRICE: Those in favor, okay this is gonna be interesting, excuse me -17 Cairns, Branham, Dennis, Grady, Yonke, Taylor, Gilchrist. 18 [Approved: Cairns, Branham, Dennis, Grady, Yonke, Taylor, Gilchrist; Inaudible: 19 Carlisle, Frierson] 20 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: All opposed? Okay, before we get into the Map 21 Amendments today there are two items I would just like to mention. I'll ask the Staff if 22 there's any specific directions that we need to give to the public about how they

participate in our Zoom call, let's do that at this time. So if there are any specific instructions that we need to be aware of as we move forward.

MR. PRICE: No, sir, I think we can discuss how we decided to receive comments from the public regarding the cases. We'll be happy to talk about that. And also, Mr. Chair, if you don't mind if, for those who are not familiar with the Consent Agenda, if you could explain to those citizens and the applicants that called in for those cases exactly what that actually meant by your decision to approve those under the Consent.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Absolutely. So for those items that were on the Consent Agenda, those items that were removed from the Consent Agenda are items that the Commission will hear. If your item was not removed from the Consent Agenda that means that it was actually on the Consent Agenda and you are free to leave the call because it has been approved under consent. And if you wish to stay on the call you're welcome to do so. Alright, can we get to our first case? Oh, no before we do that, let me, let me take a point of personal privilege real quickly to just welcome our two new Commissioners, Bryan Grady and Terrence Taylor, thank you guys for joining the Commission here and we're so delighted that you are part of a great team of folk who are very dedicated to Richland County. So welcome aboard to the team. And then we also want to take a moment to welcome back Commissioner Frierson who will be joining us again for another few years on the Commission, so thank all of you for your service to the Commission and joining a great group. So with that we can move on to the first case.

CASE NO. 20-010:

1

12 13 14

9

10

11

16

15

18

19

20

17

21

22

Kyu H. Han. The Applicant is requesting to rezone property located at 10804 Two Notch Road from Rural to General Commercial. Staff recommends disapproval of the request as it is not consistent with the objectives outlined in the Comprehensive Plan, and within the Comprehensive Plan it states that per the plan non-residential development in the neighborhood, medium-density designation may be considered for a location along main road corridors and within a contextually appropriate distance from an intersection of a primary arterial. The subject property is not located at a traffic junction, along an arterial road and at the intersection of a primary arterial. Additionally, approval of the rezoning request would permit uses that are of a scale and intensity that are not consistent with the land use recommendations of the neighborhood commercial scale development. However, Staff wants to point out that the requested zoning would be in character with existing zoning pattern in the general area, however, Staff's recommendations are based strictly on what the Comprehensive Plan calls for in a particular area. But we do like to point out when we see something that's a little different than what the Comprehensive Plan is recommending.

MR. PRICE: First case is Case 20-010 MA. The Applicant is Yong M. Han and

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Are there any questions for the Staff? Has the Applicant joined us here today?

MR. PRICE: No, the Applicant – again Mr. Chair, the applicants will not be verbalizing their presentation, however, what we have asked is that each one of the applicants submit in writing just as we have with any citizens wishing to make any comments, and so we can read that into the Record.

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, did you get anything from the Applicant here 2 today other than what you just shared with me? 3 MR. PRICE: Yes, and we will read that to you now. 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. 5 MR. DELAGE: Alright, so we have a letter from the Applicant, Mr. Han. It says, 6 Dear Richland County Planning Commission, I would like to thank you for the 7 opportunity to request a zoning map amendment. I am writing this letter regarding 8 property at 10804 Two Notch Road. My wife, Ms. Han, has performed alterations and 9 tailoring at this location for more than 10 years. Last December she had to stop working 10 and close the shop for medical reasons. The property has been vacant since then. 11 Please take into consideration that this location is inconvenient to occupy as residential 12 due to excessive traffic on Two Notch Road causing a potential safety hazard for 13 children. It is also surrounded by several properties used for commercial purposes. 14 Respectfully, Mr. Han. 15 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Do we have any additional comments from any 16 additional residents regarding this particular amendment, map amendment? 17 MR. PRICE: No, sir. 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Questions, comments, motions? Mr. Price, I did 19 wanna ask a question. It states here that this particular property was rezoned Office and 20 Institutional. When was that rezoning done on OI for the particular property? 21 MR. PRICE: This would've been Case 05-12, let me pull that file, sir.

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And I guess while you're pulling that one I'd be curious 2 to know the north of it was General Commercial zoning. I'd be curious to know when 3 that had taken place as well, if you can pull that. 4 MR. PRICE: That may not be as readily available to us, sir, but. We're looking for 5 that now. MR. CROOKS: Mr. Price? 6 7 MR. PRICE: Yes, Mr. Crooks? MR. CROOKS: I believe that, so the OI rezoning was in early, sometime around 8 9 2004 based on what the case file showed. 10 MR. PRICE: Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you, sir. Any additional comments on this 12 particular case? This is another one of those cases to me that is very inconsistent with 13 where the growth of this particular area has taken place, and more specifically it speaks 14 to why it's so necessary to do what we're doing on some of the Code rewrite and more 15 specifically with what's happening and the conflict between this and, these cases and 16 the Comprehensive Plan. And so I just wanted to mention that obviously for those who 17 are familiar with that area we know that Two Notch Road is not a rural district any 18 longer. And so this is certainly one that we need to think about as we debate what our 19 decision will be. 20 MR. BRANHAM: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, Mr. [inaudible].

MR. BRANHAM: I just wanna echo what you said and also support the Planning

Department in their recommendation based on a review of the Comprehensive Plan.

21

22

The plan being, you know, one of the four different factors that we're directed to consider when reviewing a map amendment, the others in addition to the plan being the need and the justification, the effect of the change on the property and the surrounding areas, and the amount of land in the general area having the same classification. And so certainly on two sides of the property we've got property zoned General Commercial. The property zoned Rural to the south has an auto repair business operating on it and as is referenced in the Applicant's letter, my look at the property did indicate that there was a commercial enterprise being conducted on the subject property. And then you've got that OI parcel actually tucked down on Lockman Road, so with a holistic review I would also be inclined to consider an approval of the application. But I'd like to hear other comments before I would make a motion, if there are any.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, Commissioner Branham. Any additional comments regarding this particular case, Commissioners?

MR. DENNIS: I'm just gonna echo off what Mr. Branham said, I agree, you know, we do look at that Comprehensive Plan and it is one of the things that we are guided by. But in cases like this the surrounding area might not support the Comprehensive Plan and the way it's been laid out from past events. So I would make a motion to approve this request.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. And since we're going against the Staff's recommendation, Mr. Dennis, Commissioner Dennis, can you give us a little bit more detail about your reasoning for making that particular request? Again, just for the Record. So does that make sense, Commissioner Dennis?

1 MR. DENNIS: Yes, that makes plenty of sense. The reason why I'm 2 recommending approval is because of everything around there, you've got the auto 3 repair shop to the south, you've got the hair salon across the street and there was a 4 convenience store I think directly to the north of it, and I'm not sure the other, what was 5 across there. But everything north of it was General Commercial already and then the 6 reason why is because it is comprehensive to the original layout that's there now, or 7 consistent. 8 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Is there a second on this particular motion? 9 MR. BRANHAM: I'll second it. 10 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we 11 send Case No. 20-010 MA forward to Council with a recommendation of approval based 12 upon Commissioner Dennis' recommendation and Commissioner Branham. Are there 13 any other discussions? All in favor signify by raising your hand. MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor: Dennis, Grady, Cairns, Yonke, Taylor, 14 15 Gilchrist, Branham. 16 [Approved: Dennis, Grady, Cairns, Yonke, Taylor, Gilchrist, Branham; Absent: Carlisle, 17 Frierson] 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Any opposed? And again, I'm not really sure where Council's gonna meet on the 23rd, Mr. Price? 19 20

MR. PRICE: It looks like this would be the same process, excuse me, Mr. Chair, this would be the same process for the zoning public hearing by County Council on the 23rd that the Planning Commission is having today.

21

1 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, great. So for those that may be listening to our 2 meeting here today, feel free to join us again on the 23rd at that time. Thank you very 3 much. The next case, please. 4 MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair? 5 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir. MR. PRICE: I was wondering – and I know this is a little different now because 6 7 we're doing this virtually, if we could take maybe a two or three minute recess. We do 8 have Ms. Frierson and Mr. Carlisle who have been watching the meeting but they've 9 been having some difficulties getting on and we just wanna just try to get them on 10 quickly? 11 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Sure, we can do that. Absolutely. 12 MR. PRICE: Alright, so we'll just take a two, three minute break. 13 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Take a few minute pause, everybody. 14 MS. FRIERSON: Hello? 15 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: There's Ms. Frierson. MS. FRIERSON: Yes, how are you? 16 17 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I am well. How are you? Good to hear your voice. 18 MS. FRIERSON: Thank you. I've been on from the very beginning and I can hear 19 everything you all saying but y'all can't hear me. 20 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, alright. 21 MS. FRIERSON: And I went and voted on the last one. Yes, I voted, you know, 22 along with the others to approve and earlier when we were doing the Consent Agenda 23 or something I tried to make a motion but y'all couldn't hear a thing I was saying.

1	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, well I'm glad you're on for sure.
2	MS. FRIERSON: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: And Ms. Frierson, and I was gonna talk about this on
4	the other side, but I owe you call so we'll do that today.
5	MS. FRIERSON: You do. Okay, thank you. Oh, and the other thing is when you
6	all were saying raise your hand, I know you can do that through Zoom but for those of
7	us who are just listening in you're gonna have to call the name of that person and get
8	the verbal record of how that person voted.
9	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Very good, we'll certainly note that going forward.
10	MS. FRIERSON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Mr. Price, are we ready to go?
12	MS. FRIERSON: I don't know if it's been fixed. Has it been fixed?
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, we can hear you now, I can hear you.
14	MR. CROOKS: Mr. Gilchrist? We're still working on getting Mr. Carlisle set up. If
15	you could just give us just a couple more minutes.
16	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, take your time.
17	MR. PRICE: Okay, Mr. Chair?
18	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, I'm here. I'm here. Geo? Hello? Can you hear
19	me?
20	MR. PRICE: Okay, I'm here with Mr. Carlisle. We found a way for him to
21	participate and hear. Alright, so we can proceed on with the next case.
22	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright.
23	MS. FRIERSON: Question. Ms. Frierson here. Question.

1 MR. PRICE: Yes, ma'am? 2 MS. FRIERSON: Can you hear me? 3 MS. CAIRNS: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes. MS. FRIERSON: Can you hear me? Okay, I got a sound like a recording saying 5 6 you are muted. As the meeting proceeds when I wanna vote or something, how can I be 7 unmuted? Cause I'm not mashing the mute button. 8 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, it may be that you just announce your vote, Ms. 9 Frierson. And as we get, and that way since we can't see you so it would probably be 10 better that you announce your vote when we get to the voting. 11 MS. FRIERSON: Okay. 12 MALE SPEAKER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, Ms. Frierson? Mr. Chair, this is Calvin 13 from IT. Ms. Frierson, we muted the phone because a lot of background noise is coming 14 from your phone. If you press *6 it will mute your phone and you can press *6 to unmute 15 it. If you'd like to raise your hand from [inaudible] a couple of you are dialed in on, you 16 can press *9 and it'll raise your hand on the virtual screen as well. 17 MS. FRIERSON: Okay, so *6 to unmute and *9 to vote? 18 MALE SPEAKER: Yes, ma'am, or you can just use the mute button on your 19 phone. Your phone was injecting a lotta background noise and so we just did it from 20 here. 21 MS. FRIERSON: Okay, thank you. 22 MALE SPEAKER: You're welcome.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

on?

MR. PRICE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, next case.

CASE NO. 20-017 MA:

MR. PRICE: Alright, the next case is 20-017 MA. The Applicant is Robert F. Fuller. The Applicant is looking to rezone property located at 3691 Kennerly Road from Rural to General Commercial. The parcel acreage is 2.56 acres. Staff's recommendation for this particular request is for disapproval as again it is not consistent with the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan. The proposed rezoning does not meet the objectives of desired development patterns of the Comp Plan for the designation of, future land use designation of neighborhood, low density. The proposed request permits commercial along with residential and uses of an intensity and scale that would be out of character with the Comprehensive Plan's recommendations to limit commercial development to activity centers and along main road corridors within a contextually appropriate distance from an intersection of a primary arterial. Likewise, the GC district allows for uses that are out of context to the character prescribed by the future land use designation. Further, the rezoning request is not in character with the existing residential uses and zoning districts in the immediate area and would constitute leapfrog development. Again, for these reasons Staff recommends disapproval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, very good. Is that good, are we ready to move

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, are there any questions for the Staff? Mr. Price, do you have a statement from the Applicant?

MR. PRICE: Yes, we do.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DELAGE: Alright, Mr. Chair, so we have a letter from Mr. Fuller. So it says, Commissioners, the application is being pursued specifically to establish a convenience store site similar to the operator store at Wessinger/Old Chapin Road. It is not a truck stop or large chain store enterprise. Crossroads is a local small business headquartered in Barnwell that operates community-oriented convenience stores and each operating locality of the store is an integral part of the neighborhood. By the nature of its customer constituency Crossroads seeks locations in proximity to residential communities in order to become a part of them. This business is established specifically to provide nearby access to gasoline and convenient shopping opportunities for the benefit of all of the neighboring residents. It is not a stepping stone to larger business and commercial presence, it is a standalone site for local convenience. And the Staff Report confirms that homes in neighborhoods can be supported by small scale neighborhood commercial establishments located at primary arterial intersections. This location with frontage on Broad River Road, Kennerly Road and Freshly Mill Road effectively covers that premise; it is a neighborhood enhancement, not an unwarranted intrusion. We urge your recommendation of approval of this application as a beneficial accommodation to the area. Robert F. Fuller, attorney for the Applicant.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Are there any questions for the Staff based on the Applicant's statement? Are there any additional comments from the community regarding this case?

MR. DELAGE: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. So we have a number of different comments that came in and I'll just start at the top. Let's see, it says, I am highly

opposed to the rezoning of the parcel located at the corner of Broad River, Freshly Mill and Kennerly Road. As a resident living on Pet Sikes Road, I see no need to build another gas station/convenience store when there are already three within about two to two and a half miles. I believe that this area of Chapin should remain as rural as possible since that is the reason why we have all moved out here. There are also several large parcels for sale on this stretch of Broad River and I believe any zoning changes will start a snowball effect which will then lead to even more overcrowding in our district and on our roads. I hope that the Board will recommend not to change the zoning. Thank you. And that's Meryn Martin. The next one reads, Dear Richland County Planning Commission Members, due to the restrictions in place for the Covid-19 pandemic this memo represents my attendance and response to Case No. 20-017 MA. We need to keep this land parcel as it is designated in the Richland County Master Development Plan. This proposed rezoning is inconsistent with it. The proposed rezoning does not meet the objectives or the desired development pattern of the Comprehensive Plan for the neighborhood, low density future land use designation. The Planning Commission voted down the previous proposal presented by the owner of the parcel, which is located across the street from this one, for being out of step with the County's Master Development Plan and there is no reason at this time it should be any different. The proposed rezoning would bring additional traffic to this area which already surpasses the designated capacity according to the 2019 AGT report. We have lived here for three years now and several sections of Broad River Road between Portrait Hill Drive and West Shady Grove Road has been patched up and repaved several times due to heavy traffic. Adding another commercial business will increase traffic and will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

also add to the cost of repaving this road. For these reasons I would like to vote no to this rezoning proposal. And that was Jenny Porter. Then our next comment is, With regard to the subject rezoning action, my wife and I support the Planning Commission and Staff's recommendation to disapprove the zoning change. Although we live at 150 River Oaks Road, approximately four miles from the site and are not immediately affected by this action, we do pass by it frequently. The area around this intersection is currently one of very few places in the area actively used for agricultural uses. We think it would be a travesty to further reduce the amount of productive agricultural land in this part of Richland County just to allow another convenience store/gas station. Thank you for your consideration of our comments. And that's Ralph and Beth Pearson. Alright, our next one says, Dear Planning Commission, I strongly oppose the rezoning from Rural to Commercial of the property at the intersection of Freshly Mill Road and Highway 176. I've lived less than two miles from this location for over 20 years and have endured daily the slow death of this rural community, noise pollution, light pollution, increased traffic resulting in dangerous situations when trying to turn onto Broad River Road from side streets, increased crime, deteriorating roads and destruction of natural habitats. This community is struggling to remain rural and does not need another gas station when there are others close by. There have been blatant disregard for previous decisions to keep the community zoned rural. This fact alone is a disgrace and plain wrong. [Inaudible] I beg you, please to pause then consciously vote and support of those living in this community and keep the zoning rural. And it's concerned resident, Lauren Darnell. The next comment is, To the Planning Commission Members, my family has lived in the Springhill community for the past 28 years and one of the main reasons we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

love living in this part of Richland County is because of the open spaces and lack of commercial business. We have seen changes, three new schools and more neighborhoods, but the fields and the farms remain. We are very opposed to any change in zoning for the property at Freshly Mill Road and Highway 176. There is a convenience store and gas station at the corner of Highway 76 and Mt. Vernon Church Road, two other gas stations and convenience stores are located at I26 and Peak interchange. We feel that changing the zoning at this property would only start a zoning change at other properties for sale on Highway 176. We have been to a meeting earlier in the year requesting a change of zoning very close to this property that is requesting the change at Planning Commission on Monday, June 1st. Please consider this request on making your decision. We do not wanna lose our green fields. Respectfully, James and Elizabeth Smith. And our next comment, Dear Richland County Planning Commission Members, please vote no, no, no, absolutely no on proposed zoning change in the Springhill section of Richland County. There is absolutely no need for commercial businesses in this area. This is residential and rural. There are farms and horses within a stone's throw. Think of the future damage to the area. We need rural areas. There's way too much urban sprawl as it is, and besides the infrastructures would not be able to handle it. Folks live here because they do not want to live in suburbia. Leave this area alone, leave it as is. It was zoned RU for a reason. It cannot go to GC, period. Case closed. I am begging you to vote no. Yes, I am passionate about it. Karen Larabee. Alright, our next comment is, Please say no to the zoning request number 20-17MA. The site needs to stay rural and not be changed to General Commercial. The citizens do not want commercial there. Please keep this rural. If you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

allow this against what the citizens want for the community then you are not upholding your purpose or the purpose of Richland County's Comprehensive Plan. If you allow this you are putting all the teenage drivers at risk at the schools across the street and adding more issues. This would also set a precedent to allow even more development. This is rural for a reason, keep it that way. Thank you, Charlie Wessinger. And our next citizen comment is, Once again someone is trying to change this area to General Commercial. This is ridiculous. The citizens living in this area want no part of this. We have already made that known. When something is zoned for Rural it should stay that way for a reason. We choose to live in a rural area for that reason. If this person wants to build apartments and a gas station then they should have found a commercial area to build in. The law shouldn't be changed to accommodate someone who can't do their homework. We don't want this in our backyards. More people equals more crime. There is already enough in Irmo, we don't want anymore. And that's Amber Flosio. And I apologize if I butcher anyone's name. Our next comment is, I'm writing concerning the request of the property between Broad River, Freshly Mill Road and Kennerly Roads. This parcel of land is to be discussed June 1st. I'm definitely against the rezoning request due to the fact this land has been zoned Rural in the master plan and therefore should remain Rural and not changed to General Commercial. We have a master plan for a reason and should abide by it. And it's K. Conaway. And our next comment is, I am emailing you because of the proposed rezoning for the property at 3691 Freshly Mill Road. I would like to bring a few issues to your attention. People move out to what they believe to be country for a reason, where it's quiet and peaceful with minimal amounts of noise, not for the hustle of crowds and the crowding of businesses right under their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

noses. I don't want nor do I need another convenience store anywhere close to the mentioned area. There are several within a few miles already. Walmart, Food Lion, Pitt Stop, Exxon, etc., just to name a few. Plus the County already has plenty of empty buildings where a store could be placed and that would be using up an abandoned building that are eyesores all over the County. I want my neck of the woods to stay country. He talked about the roads and the traffic characteristic section, no planned or programmed improvements of any of these roads. Well let me tell you Broad River Road, especially in this particular area, has been resurfaced multiple times and needs it again from I26 to the Newberry County line. If you don't dodge the craters in the road then about once a month your tires will need aligning. And under the parcel area characteristics it mentioned the sidewalks and street lights across Broad River are sidewalks to the schools that are on school property, but no speed lights or sidewalks where this proposed convenience store is planned. The only lights in the intersection are traffic lights. This area will change for the worse just like other locations in Richland County and not by the people who live in the area but the ones that don't. Within time Richland County deputies will have to start working security at the location because of the late hours it will stay open and that brings out people at night who have no concern for the community and only trash it. That has happened in the best of areas. Please do us all a favor and deny this rezoning request and help do something about the abandoned buildings that are still of good use. Please, please, please fix Broad River Road so more of my high paying tax dollars are wasted on any more re-dos. And the companies that do shoddy work should be held accountable. Thank you for letting me tell about my thoughts and feelings on this matter. Connie Smith. Alright, and then our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

next one is, Dear Richland County Planning Commission, I write to oppose the
proposed rezoning request in Case No. 20-017 MA. I understand that the subject
property is zoned by the same applicants for Case 19-047, Terrell Tuten or his company
Cross Road Convenience, which the Commission unanimously opposed at its
December 2 nd , 2019 meeting. The request in that case was to rezone a parcel of
property at the northwest corner of Broad River Road and Freshly Mill Road from Rural
to GC. The Commission recommended rejecting that application because it was
inconsistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan. The same is true of this new
application. The subject property is on the other side of Freshly Mill Road at the
northeast corner of the same intersection and thus the fundamental issues remain the
same. The proposal is inconsistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan and should
be rejected. Attached please find a petition that was submitted against the prior
rezoning proposal. There was not submission time for me to organize another petition
so I'm resubmitting the prior one since the issues are virtually identical. Please consider
the widespread opposition to change the fundamental character of the Springhill
community embodied by this petition. Respectfully, Matthew Gerald.
MR. PRICE: Excuse me, Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes.
MR. PRICE: Yeah, I wanted to comment regarding that, the most recent
comments that Mr. DeLage read. You were all given or emailed the petition that Mr.
Gerald is referencing, so you should have received that petition that he referenced.
CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Um-hum, we did, I did. Yes.
MR. PRICE: Okay. Mr. DeLage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. DELAGE: Alright, so our next comment is, Dear Richland County Planning Commission, we want to express my opposition to the rezoning request for Case 20-017 from Rural to General Commercial. Under the Richland County Comprehensive Plan this area is designated Rural [inaudible] and bordering neighborhood, low density. We ask that you abide by the Comprehensive Plan and leave this parcel of land Rural. Thank you, Kevin and Sharon Fronts. The next comment is, Please consider voting no on the rezoning. There is already considerable traffic on Highway 176. The traffic from schools and [inaudible] increased greatly over the past two to three years. Commercial trucks frequently use Highway 176 as a bypass to get around the weight stations and greatly increase heavy loads on Highway 176. The highway's already deteriorated and has had to have two repairs within the last year. It took forever to get the job done, which caused damage to our cars, tied up traffic and is not completely repaired. There's still potholes and erosion to the road that once again they will wait until it's almost impassable before they attempt to fix the road one more time. With the construction beginning on 126, Highway 176 will be heavily trafficked because it will become the bypass around the construction. Truckers will continue to use the highway as avoiding construction which will add to heavy loads on 176. Koon Road was shut down and has to be repairs because of illegal truck load on the road. Adding General Commercial to this area will further cause damage to our road environment. If the information is correct, the gentleman that is trying to rezone it does not live in the area. Please vote no for rezoning. Linda Heyward. I apologize, Mr. Chairman, just getting a couple more comments in here and getting them -

2

3

5

6

7

9

11

10

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Take you time, no worries. You're doing a great job staying under the two minute limit. [Laughter]

MR. DELAGE: Alright, Mr. Chairman. Alright, we had a few more in here, so. But, We would like to thank you for your time and attention on several concerns we have about this possible zoning change. This is a somewhat rural area and we would like for it to remain that way. We moved to this area as the environment we wanted to raise our families in. What do you tell a five year old child when they ask you, where are all the trees going and where were will all the birds live now? The roads on this section of Broad River Road are unimaginable. The asphalt is literally flaking off the road and forming craters in the roadway. SCDOT attempted to patch a small area a couple months ago but have not returned to make any more repairs since then. Needless to say the holes are getting worse. Traffic on this two-lane road continues to grow every day. What used to be a 10 minute commute from my home to the Peake Exit a couple years ago now takes at least 20 minutes and I'm only seven miles from I26. This area's main source of water is wells and is a major concern of ours that some of these wells have become contaminated from underground gas tanks. Unfortunately, these environmental accidents are detected only after the damage has been done and cannot always be corrected. There are already six convenience stores within a five mile radius of the proposed site. There are two at the Peake Exit and three at the Chapin Exit and one at the White Rock end of Mt. Vernon Church Road. We think the convenience store business is already well [inaudible] in this area. We have a major concern about traffic flow, this section of Broad River Road and Springhill High School is directly across this two-lane highway from the proposed site and Chapin Middle School is left and one and

a half miles down the road. Between morning traffic, school traffic and just the regular day-to-day traffic we're not sure this area will be able to handle the congestion. Will there be some sort of traffic study done before this zoning change is considered? We understand that there are those who want all the conveniences near them but there are also those that wanna live at quiet, uncongested areas. Once all the trees are gone they will be gone along with the birds. Robert Huff. And then our next comment is, As residents of the Springhill community I'd like to express my concerns over the proposed commercial development at the corner of Freshly Mill and Broad River Road. This corner is a high traffic area that serves three school zones, Springhill High, [inaudible] Advanced Technical Studies and Chapin Middle. In addition, thousands of cars travel these roads each day due to the high number of homes off Broad River. Our roads are already in terrible condition, our traffic is high, most importantly our children walk to school in this area. Increased traffic due to commercial development puts the lives of our children and families in jeopardy. In addition this development does not agree with the Richland County master development plan and should not be considered. As my elected official, thank you for listening to my concerns. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact us. Brandon and Michelle Foster. Alright and then our next comment, I would like to voice my opposition for the zoning change for the parcel of land at the intersection of Freshly Mill and Broad River Road. The zoning proposal is in direct opposition to the County's master plan for development. It is not in alignment with the master plan and Broad River and cannot support any additional development at this time. Sincerely, Meredith Hall. And then our next one, I am writing this email to ask you to disapprove the owner's request to rezone the property to GC. We met in December

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2019 on a similar request to rezone the property across Freshly Mill Road from this to GC and it was finally withdrawn after the community voiced their opposition at the Richland County building as we will have to live with the impact of the change. We have over the last number of years had the school district build three different schools in the same area and a large subdivision is also built in the same area. The congestion increased tenfold in that are with very little improvement to the roads to handle the increased volume. There are convenience stores in the White Rock and Peake Exit that are close enough to handle our needs. There's also a full grocery store, a Food Lion, at the Peake Exit. This type of business will only create adding congestion and problems due to high school making a quick stop before going across the street to school. Please follow your Staff's recommendation and disapprove the request and keep the land zoned RU. Thank you for hearing our concerns, Gary and Joann Brucker. Alright our next comment is, I would like to express my opposition to the zoning change listed above. This property has been farmland for years and we're losing that commodity rapidly; it grew cotton last year. I've lived in Spring Hill since 1971 and I've watched the surrounding deterioration from Rural to residential to other classifications. I do not believe it would be in the best interest of Richland County or local citizens to make this change. This will just make 176 more like 76 from Irmo to Chapin eventually. Traffic is bad enough on 176 and having another turn off here would be dangerous. I understand that it might be a convenience or gas store, there are several of those close by without opening another. Once the property gets to GC status its use could change to anything permitted by the County in a GC area and we would have no say in that decision. I use well water as do most rural residents nearby and I have concern with the water table

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

contamination, my dad's well is at 3600 Kennerly Road. Respectfully yours, Andrew Boone. Alright our next comment is, My name is Megan Luck. I live approximately one mile from the site. I have many concerns for this proposed zoning change. First the infrastructure in this area cannot handle commercial properties. There are three schools on this stretch of road as well as subdivisions. Adding commercial properties will only increase the amount of traffic in the area where the roads are already in poor condition. The traffic in this area is crazy in the mornings and afternoons when school is in session. There are many inexperienced teen drivers and I feel that opening up this area to commercial growth from these schools would be irresponsible. I also worry about the kids crossing Broad River Road possibly on foot to get to this area after school or on their lunch break. Second I don't believe the proposed zoning change follows the County's future land use plan. This area is designated as Rural, large lot and low density neighborhood. Commercial properties do not fit into the landscape of the area. Lastly this area is Rural with many small farms, properties with livestock and homes on acreage. We live in this area because we enjoy being further from conveniences in exchange for the guiet country feel. If we wanted commercial properties conveniently located down the street we would've moved to a different area of town where these properties already exist. Thank you for taking the time to hear my concerns about this proposed zoning change and I hope you will consider them when making this decision. Alright and then the next one is, Good morning, I am writing to express my opposition to the zoning change from RU to GC for Case 20-017 or 3691 Kennerly Road. Here are the specific reasons for not approving -

MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair, I –

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Are we losing Tommy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DELAGE: Let's see, I don't have any indication on my end about the – okay, can you hear me? I was told I was going in and out. Okay. Alright, well Mr. Price is gonna read the next few.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I can hear you now. I can hear you now.

MR. PRICE: Okay, I'll kind of pick up where you left off, Tommy. Good morning, I am writing to express my opposition to the zoning change from Rural to General Commercial for Case 20-017, 3691 Kennerly Road. Here are the specific reasons for not approving this zone change request. Richland County Staff recommends disapproval. Heed Staff's advice. Richland County Staff comments, the proposed rezoning does not meet the objectives or desired development pattern of the Comprehensive Plan for the neighborhood, low density future land use designation. The proposed request permits commercial along with residential. Uses of an intensity and scale that would be out of character with the Comprehensive Plan's recommendations to limit commercial development to activity centers and along within main road corridors within a contextually appropriate distance from the intersection of a primary arterial. Likewise, the GC district allows for uses that are out of context to the character prescribed by the future land use designation. Further, the rezoning request is not in character with the existing residential uses and zoning districts in the immediate area and would constitute leapfrog development. For these reasons Staff recommends disapproval of the map amendment, page 59, Planning Commission Agenda. Two, request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Future land use map shows this parcel to be squarely in the rural, large lot category. For appendix to Comprehensive

Plan and page 30 of Comprehensive Plan. Active working land such as farms and forests and large lot rural residential development are the primary forms of development that should occur in rural, large lot areas. Residential development should occur on very large individually owned lots or as family subdivisions. Master Plan, smaller lot subdivisions are not an appropriate development type in rural large lot areas. These areas are not appropriate for providing public wastewater service unless land owners are put at risk by failing septic tanks. Primary land uses, crop and animal production uses, forestry, single-family detached houses on individual large lots. Secondary land uses, animal and crop production support services, agri-tourism uses, produce stands. veterinary services, places of worship and riding stables. Page two, Richland County has a long working lands legacy. Working lands in Richland County today include those used for agriculture, horticultural and forestry activities. The County will support the continued viability of these operations. Page 21, citizens input suggests strong interest to protect the unique rural character in Richland County as evidenced by polling feedback provided at the July 2014 community choices workshop. The challenge is to maintain this uniquely rural character in a way that upholds private property interests. Page 22, another challenge to maintaining farming in the County is encroachment by development. As land is developed around the edges of prime agricultural lands it can become more and more difficult to maintain farming operations. Three, Planning Commission is required to consider 26-52(E)(1) review, all proposed amendments shall be submitted to the Planning Commission for study and recommendation. The Planning Commission shall study each proposal to determine a, the need and justification for the change, no specific need or justification for this request rooted entirely in desire to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

increase the value of the land; b, when pertaining to a change in a district classification of the property the effect of the changes, if any, on the property and any surrounding properties. Surrounding properties are all rural, rezoning will increase service demands, road traffic, infrastructure demands. Rezoning will create safety hazards for schools. When pertaining to a change in the district classification of the property the amount of land in the general area having the same district classification as that being requested. GC classification is not prevalent in this rural area; d, the relationship with a proposed amendment to the purposes of the general planning program with appropriate consideration as to whether the proposed change will further the purposes of this chapter and the purposes of the Comprehensive Plan. Inconsistent with Comprehensive Plan. Respectfully submitted, Jenny Boulware. Next time I'll time myself I you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That's perfectly fine.

MR. PRICE: The next comment is from Tyler Boulware of 164 Back Acres Road. I am writing to state my opposition to the rezoning change from Rural to General Commercial for Case 20-017, 3691 Kennerly Road. This area is zoned Rural. The Richland County Planning Staff already disapproved this rezoning change request because it did not adhere to the Planning Commission's own Comprehensive Plan for the area. It seems that Anderson Oil Company and its LLC Crossroads Convenience based out of Barnwell, South Carolina, spent half a million dollars in 2018 to establish a commercial business or businesses at this site. But I ask that the Planning Commission follow its own recommendations for land development in the Spring Hill district and dismiss this zoning change request. For specific arguments as to why this request

should be rejected, please refer to your own Comprehensive Plan document. And again that was from Tyler Boulware. Next comment, I am writing in opposition to rezoning 3691 Kennerly Road, tax map 01700-06-05 from Rural to General Commercial. I live slightly more than one mile from the property and I travel on Broad River Road by the property twice, almost every day. There are several reasons why this property should maintain its current zoning as Rural. First of all Richland County Staff has recommended that the rezoning request be disapproved, and I believe we should rely on their advice and expertise. All the surrounding property is zoned Rural. There is no need for commerce at this location because people are content to drive a few miles to the I26 interchange at the Peake Exit or to travel I26 to nearby areas to obtain their goods and services. I would like to express a few more points of concern. First, the Spring Hill/White Rock Fire Station mentioned in the Staff Report as the one nearest to the property is manned only by volunteers when needed. The Irmo station is the nearest manned station. Second, this section of Broad River Road already exceeds average daily traffic counts by 17%. Also, the section of Broad River Road from the property located to the Peake Exit of I26 has been crumbling and in disrepair for a number of years. Portions have been patched on several occasions and there are patches on top of patches in several areas. The sections of Broad River Road cannot adequately handle the current traffic level and certainly cannot handle an additional traffic load resulting from commercial development. Another concern is that I and nearby residences depend on well water. If this property is rezoned to commercial that would make it available for development as a gas station with underground storage tanks. Sometimes these underground storage tanks leak and contaminate nearby

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

groundwater, rendering well water unsafe for consumption. In closing I respectfully request that you vote to recommend disapproval of any rezoning of this property. Please keep it zoned as Rural. Respectfully submitted, Judy Horanda. Next comment, Please vote against the rezoning of the Kennerly Road area. My family lives on Canterfield and Freshly Mill Road and we own a family farm. There are many other families in this area that have a farm or livestock and keeping this area as designated Rural area is a must in order to maintain this livelihood. There is no need for a gas station in this area as there is a gas station just over a mile away in White Rock and another at the interstate. This rezoning will cause this area to become more congested and in time would allow for more development to take place. This is not what my family and I would like to see happen in this area and we strongly oppose it. As a member of this community in the area I would encourage you all to vote no to this change. Regards, Eddie and Gail Epting, Kevin and Kayla, I think it's Ferris. The next comment, Please allow me to introduce myself. Currently I am the food services and branding manager for Anderson Oil. Prior to taking this position and leaving education I was a science teacher at Chapin Middle School for six years. I am also a parent that has two children go to Chapin Middle School and Spring Hill High School. I am for the commercial rezoning and these are my reasons why a convenience store would add value. First, the store would be aesthetically pleasing. The layout is very similar to Palmetto Roads in Chapin and it is beautiful. There will also be a full kitchen with catering and healthy food options. Please see the pictures below of Palmetto Roads. Second, it would add a tremendous amount of convenience for parents, students and teachers. Parents that have to drop students off at multiple schools would not have to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

travel out of their way to get fuel or feel as rushed in the morning or afternoon. There are also many students that travel to the center and have to drive out of the way for necessities. I know when my son attended Spring Hill High School he would have to rush to make it to practice for baseball at Dutch Fork. As a growing, always hungry teenage boy he would drive out of his way to get something to eat prior to practice, or fuel. I would have worried less for him to have an option closer to the school. I know many teachers that want a nice convenience store next to the school. I would be more than happy to provide signatures if we had had more time. Lastly, Anderson Oil is not an average convenience store operator. We take a lot of pride in partnering with the local community. Please see our Facebook page. Just recently we gave \$2500 to local schools for their math and science programs. We have two spirit pumps, one spirit pump gives one cent per gallon to support the arts in Barnwell, the other spirit pump gives two cents per gallon to support sports for Hampton High School. We also annually support Estill and Hampton Police Department. We value education and being a partner in the community. Thank you for your consideration in zoning this plot commercial. I know the Chapin community and understand the reservation but the benefits would add incredible value. Sincerely, Melissa, I believe it's [inaudible]. Again as Mr. DeLage said, we apologize for any mispronunciation of any names. Next case [sic], I am opposed to this proposed rezoning. As an adjacent land owner and resident of this area I need to express my concerns. Following is a list of facts I think should be considered. Disruption of large wooded area. Incomplete use of existing [inaudible] development in the area. Adverse effect on aerial wildlife. Lack of existing essential services. Proposed density of housing not similar to area properties. Increased risk of flooding and erosion due to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

natural flora disruption. Steven [inaudible]. You know, I apologize for that last comment, that last one - that was actually intended for the case that was deferred so that should not have been included with this. I apologize for that. The next one, Please vote against the rezoning of the Kennerly Road area. My family has lived less than two miles from this area for over 27 years and we do not need more congestion. There is enough traffic during business hours in this area due to Spring Hill High School and surrounding neighborhoods. Adding commercial property would cause more delays and headaches. There are plenty of gas stations and shopping areas near this property. Adding another gas station would allow for more crime to enter our area. We have many friends in this area who rely on this land for farming as their livelihood. The unnecessary pollution would be detrimental to their business. As a member of this community I strongly urge you to vote no to this change. Thank you for your consideration, Jessy Bickley. Next comment, It has come to my attention that a request has been submitted to rezone property at 3691 Kennerly Road, tax map 1700-06-05 from Rural to General Commercial. I understand that the PDSD recommendation is to disapprove this request. I agree wholeheartedly with this recommendation. This is a rural community of singlefamily homes. This property is also next to two schools. Converting this property to commercial use would be out of character for this area and would open the door to further commercial development, changing forever the nature of this community. Please disapprove this request. Sincerely, Diana Diaz. Next comment, I trust my email will find you all well and staying safe in this crazy season we are currently living in. I want to first and foremost thank you for your service to our great country and state. I would humbly be there this afternoon as I was last year, however, we are in crazy times for sure. My

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

name is Clifford J. Corley, Jr., and I live at 211 Holiday Road, Chapin, South Carolina 29036. I would like to express my opposition to this rezoning request. I have lived out there for 12 years and love the nature of where I live. I know that development will happen and I am for growth in a community, however, before we start building small commercial buildings that will house new business, we desperately need to have the roads and infrastructure to handle the traffic. The roads are already overwhelmed with the new school and residential development. Please do not allow this rezoning at this time. I think of the problem we have with Hardscrabble Road right now, we do not need that to happen here. Again, I appreciate your service to our community and wish you the very best moving forward. Sincerely, Cliff Corley. Next comment, As the owner of Anderson Oil I would like to make you aware of my intentions. My sole interest in this property is to build a beautiful convenience store that will provide a service to the local community. The layout will be similar to Palmetto Roads in Chapin. It will be welcoming and have a friendly atmosphere. It will be well illuminated and have over 30 cameras where customers will always feel safe. We are a good neighbor and will support local schools and welcome community feedback. I feel there may have been some miscommunication in my intentions when the property was purchased. I want to be very clear that my only goal is to build a second to none convenience store. I have absolutely no interest in building apartments, condominiums or other types of housing. The additional land that was purchased is strictly to restrict competition. Chapin is a proud community with high expectations. I plan to meet or exceed these expectations and provide a needed convenience. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Terrell Tuten. Next comment, Please accept this correspondence as my public comment in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

opposition to the rezoning request set forth in Case 20-017 MA. I respectfully implore the Commission to adopt the recommendation of Staff counsel and deny the rezoning request. The rezoning request to GC from Rural is inconsistent with the character of the area and is also contrary to the land development plan adopted by Richland County. Indeed, this Commission recently made a recommendation to deny a similar request to rezone the tract directly across from the parcel in question. The circumstances of the area have to change to justify departure from the prior recommendation of this Commission. The Commission should continue its consistent and appropriate treatment of requests to rezone from Rural to GC in this area and deny the present request for rezoning. As very appropriately noted in the Staff counsel Report the present rezoning request constitutes leapfrog development. Indeed, recommending to County Council that the present rezoning request be granted is simply the first step down a slippery slope of rezoning which is inconsistent with the character of the area. The Commission is charged with making recommendations to County Council which serves the interest of all residents of Richland County and not just the interest of a single investor or land owner. The Staff Report also indicates that the traffic volume on 176 significantly exceeds the present design capacity and SCDOT has no funds allocated to this area for improvement. It is without question that granting the present request would require adjustments to the roads in this area. As such the rezoning request would require the divergence of funds from other areas in Richland County for which funds for road improvements have been allocated. It is improper to reallocate funds from identified areas of need in Richland County to facilitate a rezoning request that is inconsistent with the surrounding area. For these reasons and those articulated in the Staff Report, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

respectfully request the Commission recommend to County Council that the rezoning request be denied. Thank you, Jason Pittman, Canterfield Road. And that is, those are all of the comments that Staff has.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, thank you Mr. Price and Mr. Tommy for reading off those comments. You know, it's always important that we spend time listening to the community when they're wanting to be engaged in our efforts here. And so we certainly appreciate the Staff taking time to read us those comments. I'm assuming those are all the comments we have. Are there any questions for the Staff? Any motions?

MR. BRANHAM: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Commissioner Branham?

MR. BRANHAM: I don't have any questions but I do have some comments.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay.

MR. BRANHAM: The, in a way it just feels like the current application is almost the opposite of the Two Notch Road parcel that we just discussed and voted on earlier. Lots and lots of comments from the public and, you know, they may not use certain terminology but they are all justified and important as far as the appropriate things that we would factor in in an analysis of the application. When it comes to the need and the justification lots of comments were made about convenience stores being not that far away and, you know, development is, is not that far away. I'm very familiar with the area and it is an interesting area, it's still maintained a lot of its integrity as a rural and an agricultural area despite development being just a couple of miles away. And you know, the effects on the property, on surrounding properties if it were to be rezoned, again you got, you have schools nearby and then you just have nearby agricultural fields and large

tracts of timber, wooded lands as well. And just the amount of land in the general area having that same district classification it would really be an island of a commercial zoning designation in an otherwise ocean of non-commercial parcels of land. And again as it relates to the Comprehensive Plan with the intent for any sort of commercial development an area with a growth designation for low density neighborhood, those things need to take place in the neighborhood activity center which is not where this is located, it's, the nearest one's a couple, few miles away. So certainly nothing against the Applicant or their intentions to one day build a convenience center that's pretty with nice employees, those are just not usually the kinds of things that are appropriate for us to consider when it comes to a question of whether or not we should amend our zoning map. You know, the issues with Broad River Road operating as it is at Level of Service E, meaning unstable flow that's subject to danger in the event of a disruption of the traffic flow because it's already operating at capacity, those are all just appropriate considerations and I, you know, I just, I don't see a way to justify anything otherwise. And so I'm open to additional discussion but I would make a motion that we send this to Council with a recommendation for disapproval.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Branham. Is there, were there any other comments. There's a motion on the table. Is there a second?

MALE SPEAKER: I'll second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that we send Case No. 20-017 MA forward to Council with Staff recommendation of disapproval. Any additional discussion? If not, all in favor signify by raising your hand?

- MR. PRICE: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, I think as has been suggested to me, if you don't mind we will just do this by roll call because we have a couple of Members who are not where I can see their hands raised.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: That's fine. By roll call, so all in favor?
- 5 MR. PRICE: Dennis.
- 6 MR. DENNIS: Sorry, yes.
- 7 MR. PRICE: Grady? I think Mr. Grady is muted.
- 8 MR. GRADY: Sorry, yes. Hi.
- 9 MR. PRICE: Cairns?
- 10 MS. CAIRNS: [Inaudible]
- 11 MR. PRICE: Yonke?
- 12 MR. YONKE: Yes.
- 13 MR. PRICE: Branham?
- 14 MR. BRANHAM: Aye.
- 15 MR. PRICE: Taylor?
- 16 MR. TAYLOR: Aye.
- 17 MR. PRICE: Gilchrist?
- 18 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Aye.
- 19 MR. PRICE: Frierson?
- 20 MS. FRIERSON: [Inaudible]
- 21 MR. PRICE: Mr. Carlisle?
- 22 MR. CARLISLE: Aye.
- 23 MR. PRICE: Okay. I think everyone voted along with the motion, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Has everyone voted?

MR. PRICE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Is there anybody opposed? Okay, well then we'll send this to Council with a recommendation of disapproval. Again, Council will meet on June the 23rd via Zoom at that time and the Applicant is welcome to come back at that time to share any additional observations from this case. Okay. That's it, that's all the Map Amendments I have on my agenda. Any additional business?

MR. PRICE: I don't have any at this time. Maybe Mr. DeLage or Mr. Crooks, do y'all have any other business regarding the Land Development Code rewrite?

MR. CROOKS: Mr. Crooks, I was going to ask the Commission, I know in our work session that we had with you all y'all mentioned wanting to potentially have another conversation or take up conversation at another point. I just, I wanted to get clarification from you all, if y'all had thought about that anymore, and if there was any agreement, thoughts, feelings in regards to wanting to have more specific conversations or if y'all had any more pointed comments or questions as it related to the Land Development Code.

MR. BRANHAM: Mr. Chair, I got one question or comment.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, sir, Commissioner Branham?

MR. BRANHAM: I'm just still wondering about the reduced number of postings of the, when a map amendment application has been made, when the property is posted. What is the justification behind reducing the number of signs that would be placed on a property? Currently you gotta place on every 300' is the general rule, and this, the amendment is proposing to place them once every 1,000'.

1	MR. CROOKS: I'm not sure about that, Mr. Branham. I will take a look and we
2	will pose that question to the consultant as well and get an answer back to you. I think, if
3	I remember correctly, part of the intent was having to deal with, I think just based on the
4	number of postings to the length of [inaudible]. But I will get a clarification and get that
5	back to you.
6	MR. BRANHAM: Thanks. And then there's one other thing that the hiding or
7	tampering of those posted notices being a Code violation. Is there any sort of penalty in
8	the ordinance for committing that violation? What would happen if you violated that?
9	That's in 26-2.4(H)(2)(b)(iii).
10	MR. CROOKS: Give me just a second and I'll take a look.
11	MR. BRANHAM: And that's just in the spirit of public, you know, notice of the
12	Commission's business. That's all I have, Mr. Chair, thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Thank you, sir. Are you guys still looking at that, Geo?
14	MR. CROOKS: Yes, sir, give me just a second.
15	MS. FRIERSON: Mr. Chairperson? Mr. Gilchrist?
16	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, Ms. Frierson?
17	MS. FRIERSON: I apologize, in the last vote I voted but I don't know if you all
18	could see it or not. I typed it in. Did you all record it?
19	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I think the Staff captured the vote.
20	MS. FRIERSON: I could not hear you.
21	CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: I said I think the Staff may have captured that vote. I
22	can't see it but I think they may have captured that on their end.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. FRIERSON: Okay. I voted with everyone else that disapproved of Map Amendment 20-017.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Very good. And they did, reflected in the Minutes.

MS. FRIERSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: You bet. While you guys are looking at that I do wanna say to the Staff thank you for organizing the meeting and for all the Commissioners that not only attended the briefing on the Code rewrite but commented on that. And I certainly think based upon not only the comments that were made during that meeting, but the opportunity to be able to get some clarity on concerns that or observations that Commissioners may have had, that we could do that in particular for some of the newer Commissioners. And so Mr. Crooks it might be worth just getting with the consultants and seeing if they can send to us some dates that they can be available and we try to give, we just try to work around a work session given kind of what's happening with the Covid thing to set up something to meet with us.

MR. CROOKS: Yes, sir, we will reach out to them and see what we can do. And if it's one of the things where if we can't have the consultants we as Staff will definitely try to facilitate something as well, especially as it relates to any particular sections of the new Code or questions or comments again that y'all have. And then in relation to Mr. Branham's question as it relates to those penalties, I believe that, so that's gonna be found in Article VIII so 26-8, enforcement, it would be what that section is specifically, 26-8.6(C), penalties. So it's, I think the same general penalties that would otherwise apply, so those found within that section.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Was that helpful, Mr. Branham?

MR. BRANHAM: Yeah, I'll take a look at that.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay.

MR. BRANHAM: Thank you, Mr. Crooks.

MR. CROOKS: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Any additional comments on other business, Staff?

MR. DELAGE: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well, under the Chairman's Report I just want to again thank all the Commissioners for their involvement and good attendance during the Code rewrite. I also want to take a moment to thank you for organizing the Zoom meeting today, very different for us but I think we made it through very well and, you know, obviously this is something to look forward to in the not too distance future and we certainly want to thank you for organizing this, certainly in light of the fact that we've not had a Commission meeting in a while. And the last thing I just want to say in a point of personal privilege is I wanna give a humble thank you to not only the Commissioners of the Richland County Planning Commission, but the Staff of Richland County for reaching out to me and my family during this tough time. We, for those of you who may not know, we lost our home two Fridays ago to a fire and it was completely destroyed. We lost pretty much everything. Everybody was safe so we didn't lose each other, which was the most important thing, but we can't thank the community enough for the outpouring of support that our family has received during this tough time. We're gonna make it through fine, and everybody's gonna be okay, but it certainly makes it a lot more bearable when there are folk like you who extend the type of support you have to me and my family. So I just want to say that to the County and to the Commission, thank

you so much for that support, and please keep all of us in your prayers because we're gonna need those most important as we continue to move forward. So that's all I have on the Planning Director's Report.

MR. CROOKS: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair, the Planning Director's Report is the actions taken at the last zoning public hearing back in February. And so you'll see those on page 57 of the agenda packet. Otherwise I don't have anything additional to report, thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Okay. Alright, please note that on page 57. If there's nothing else to claim our attention on this Zoom meeting today, thank you guys again for attending, and I'll accept a motion to adjourn.

MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Yes, Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: Just before we adjourn, I know you were thanking Staff for, you know, kind of putting together this Zoom meeting and I know a lotta times, you know, you get to see the primary Staff, you know, Tommy, Brian, Clayton, Tina and myself up front, but I wanna make sure we give thanks to those people that probably walked us through this and made this all possible, they're from my IT Department. I want to thank Angela Weathersby and Kyle Holscloth cause they basically, if it wasn't for them I'm not sure we would've gotten this together, so I just wanted to make sure I acknowledge them because, you know, they're behind the scenes and not really seen too often, but they really helped us out on this a lot.

CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Well absolutely. No, I heard someone chime in a little bit early to give the right direction on what we needed to do, so we certainly appreciate

44 all the Staff and for your support on this and love to have a larger conversation with that 1 team about, you know, how do we continue to make what we do more virtual to the 2 wider public, and I know we've had a conversation about that in the past so to that Staff, 3 4 thank you again for helping us to get organized here today for a very good meeting. So 5 thank you so much. And with that I'll accept a motion to adjourn. 6 MALE SPEAKER: So moved. 7 CHAIRMAN GILCHRIST: Alright, everybody have a great week and we will see 8 you soon, thanks. 9 10 [Meeting adjourned approximately 4:50pm]