RICHLAND COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 **April 1, 2024** 2 3 [Members Present: Christopher Yonke, Beverly Frierson, Mark Duffy, John Metts, Charles 4 Durant, Terrence Taylor, Chris Siercks, Bryan Grady; Absent: Frederick Johnson, II] 5 6 Called to order: 6:02pm 7 8 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Staff, are you ready? 9 MR. PRICE: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN YONKE: I'd like to call to order the April 1st, 2024 Richland County 11 Planning Commission meeting. Staff, please confirm the following, in accordance with 12 the Freedom of Information Act a copy of the Agenda was sent to the news media, 13 persons requesting notification and posted on the bulletin board located in the County 14 administration building, is that correct? 15 MR. DELAGE: That is correct, Mr. Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you. Staff, can you please take attendance for 17 today's meeting? 18 MR. PRICE: Alright, those in attendance for the Monday, April 1st, 2024 Richland 19 County Planning Commission. Yonke? 20 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Here. 21 MR. PRICE: Frierson? Johnson? Duffy? 22 MR. DUFFY: Here. 23 MR. PRICE: Metts? 24 25 MR. METTS: Here. MR. PRICE: Durant? 26 MR. DURANT: Here. 27

MR. PRICE: Taylor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. TAYLOR: Here.

MR. PRICE: Siercks?

MR. SIERCKS: Here.

MR. PRICE: Grady?

MR. GRADY: Here.

MR. PRICE: We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the April 1st, 2024 Richland County Planning Commission meeting. As Planning Commissioners we are concerned residents of Richland County who volunteer our time to thoroughly review and make recommendations to County Council. Our recommendations are to approve or deny Zoning Map Amendment requests. Per Title VI Chapter 29 of the SC Code of Laws Planning Commission may also prepare and revise plans and programs for the development or redevelopment of unincorporated portions of the county. The County's Land Development Code rewrite process conducted last year was an example of this. Once again, we are a recommending body to County Council and they will conduct their own public hearing and take official votes to approve or deny map amendments and text amendments on a future date to be published by the county. Council typically holds Zoning Public Hearings on the fourth Tuesday of the month. Please check the county's website for updated agendas, dates and times. Please take note of the following guidelines for today's meeting. Please turn off or any silence cellphones. Audience members may quietly come and go as needed. Applicants are allowed up to two minutes to make statements. Citizens signed up to

1	speak are allowed up to two minutes each. Redundant comments should be minimized.
2	Please only address remarks to the Commission and do not expect the Commission to
3	respond to questions from the speaker in a back and forth style, that is not the purpose
4	of the meeting. Please no audience/speaker exchanges. No audience demonstrations
5	or other disruptions to the meeting are permitted nor are comments from anyone other
6	than the speaker at the podium. Please remember the meeting is being recorded,
7	please speak into the microphone and give your name and address. Abusive language
8	is inappropriate and will not be tolerated. Please don't voice displeasure or frustration at
9	a recommendation while the Planning Commission is still conducting business. If you
10	have any questions or concerns you may contact Richland County Planning Department
11	Staff down here. This now moves us to number 3 on our Agenda today which is
12	Additions/Deletions to the Agenda. Are there any motions for the additions or deletions
13	to the Agenda? Hearing none, let's also deal with any motions to amend the Agenda.
14	Commissioners, Staff?
15	MR. PRICE: I would just state just again for the Record that Case 24-003 MA has

MR. PRICE: I would just state just again for the Record that Case 24-003 MA has been deferred at the request of the Applicant. And also case 5.b.4., which is Case 24-005 MA has been deferred administratively.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. I also see a minor typo for number 5.b.3., I believe we're gonna go, not discussing RU to GC but the new Code RT to GC. Do we need to take any motions for vote with that?

MR. PRICE: Yes, I would.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yeah, you would? Okay. As the Chair I make a motion to update our Agenda to show that case 5.b.2. was administratively deferred, or by the

Applicant, number 3. should be RT to GC, and case 5.b.4. is deferred as well. Do I have 1 2 a second? MR. DURANT: Second. 3 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second. I have a second, Commissioner Durant over there, 4 sure. Please take a vote, Staff. 5 6 MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor of the additions/deletions to the Agenda, Yonke? 7 Hearing none we can move on to number 4 on our Agenda today which is the 8 9 approval of Minutes from the prior meetings. The Staff provided the Commission with copies of the transcript of the Commission's January 5th, 2024 meeting. Do any 10 Commissioner Members have any comments or concerns regarding these transcripts? 11 Hearing none the Chair makes a motion to approve the Minutes unless there's an 12 objection? Do I have a second? 13 14 MR. DURANT: Second. CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second, thank you Commissioner Durant. Staff, please 15 take a vote. 16 17 MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor of the approval of the Minutes from February 5th, 2024 Minutes of the Planning Commission, Yonke? 18 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes. 19 20 MR. PRICE: Duffy? MR. DUFFY: Yes. 21 22 MR. PRICE: Metts? 23 MR. METTS: Yes.

1	MR. PRICE: Durant?
2	MR. DURANT: Yes.
3	MR. PRICE: Taylor?
4	MR. TAYLOR: Yes.
5	MR. PRICE: Siercks?
6	MR. SIERCKS: Yes.
7	MR. PRICE: Grady?
8	MR. GRADY: Yes.
9	MR. PRICE: Alright, motion passes.
10	[Approved: Yonke, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent for vote:
11	Frierson; Absent: Johnson]
12	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. Moving on to number 4 would be
13	approval of Minutes. The Staff provided the Commission with copies of the transcript of
14	March 4 th , 2024's meeting. Do any Commission Members have any comments or
15	concerns regarding these transcripts? Hearing none the Chair makes a motion to
16	approve the Minutes unless there's an objection? Do I have a second?
17	MR. METTS: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second from Commissioner Metts. Staff, please take a
19	vote.
20	MR. PRICE: Alright, those in favor of the approval of the March 4 th , 2024
21	Minutes, Yonke?
22	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye.
23	MR. PRICE: Duffy?
	1

MR. DUFFY: Aye. 1 MR. PRICE: Metts? 2 MR. METTS: Aye. 3 MR. PRICE: Durant? 4 MR. DURANT: Aye. 5 6 MR. PRICE: Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Aye. 7 MR. PRICE: Siercks? 8 MR. SIERCKS: Aye. 9 MR. PRICE: Grady? 10 MR. GRADY: Aye. 11 MR. PRICE: Motion passes. 12 [Approved: Yonke, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent for vote: 13 Frierson; Absent: Johnson] 14 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. We now move on to number 5. on our 15 Agenda, the Consent Agenda. The Consent Agenda is an action item that allows the 16 17 Commission to approve road names and map amendment requests where the Staff recommends approval and either no one from the public has signed up to speak against 18 the amendment or no Member of the Commission is in need of further discussion on the 19 20 request. Today I'm gonna ask Commissioner Metts, do we have anyone signed up against any Map Amendments today? 21

MR. METTS: No, we do not.

1	CHAIRMAN YONKE: We do not. Thank you. Fellow Commissioners, would
2	anyone like to engage in discussion on any of the Map Amendments that would still be
3	on the Consent Agenda?
4	MR. TAYLOR: I did have one correction.
5	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Taylor.
6	MR. TAYLOR: First one, Case No. 24-045 MA, under the explanation on page 1,
7	[inaudible] zoning should be R6, is that correct?
8	CHAIRMAN YONKE: The question's for Staff, yes.
9	MR. PRICE: Yes, that is correct.
10	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Taylor, would you like further discussion?
11	MR. TAYLOR: No, that's it. If it was R5 I was gonna ask about the details but
12	since it's R6 the details match with R6. No further discussion.
13	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay. The Chair makes a motion to amend the Consent
14	Agenda so that we remove case 5.b.2., which is deferred and 5.b.4., which is also
15	deferred, but approve 5.b.1., 3., and 5. Do I have a second?
16	MR. METTS: Second.
17	MR. PRICE: Mr. Chair?
18	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes.
19	MR. PRICE: I'm sorry, did y'all also include 5.a., the Road Names?
20	CHAIRMAN YONKE: And 5.a., the Road Names for approval. Thank you, Mr.
21	Price. Second from Commissioner Metts, also I heard Commissioner Grady. Staff, can
22	you please take a vote for the Consent Agenda?

MR. PRICE: Alright, so we have a motion for the approval of the Consent 1 Agenda which would include items 5.a., Road Names, 5.b.1., 5.b.3., and 5.b.5., the 2 motion again was for approval. Those in favor, Yonke? 3 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Aye. 4 MR. PRICE: Duffy? 5 6 MR. DUFFY: Aye. MR. PRICE: Metts? 7 MR. METTS: Aye. 8 MR. PRICE: Durant? 9 MR. DURANT: Aye. 10 MR. PRICE: Taylor? 11 MR. TAYLOR: Aye. 12 MR. PRICE: Siercks? 13 14 MR. SIERCKS: Aye. MR. PRICE: Grady? 15 MR. GRADY: Aye. 16 17 MR. PRICE: Motion passes. [Approved: Yonke, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent for vote: 18 Frierson; Absent: Johnson] 19 20 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Staff. So for the public out there the Consent Agenda just approved those remaining items on our Agenda for today and that will go to 21 County Council as a Planning Commission recommendation of approval at their next 22 23 Zoning Public Hearing.

MR. PRICE: And that would be the 23rd of April at 7:00pm.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price.

[Ms. Frierson in at 6:13pm]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: This moves us already to Item No. 6. on our Agenda, Other Items which is the Olympia Mill District Historic Overlay and I'll pass this back to Mr. Price.

MR. PRICE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As stated we are looking to incorporate a number of the standards and guidelines from the Capitol City Mill District Plan and also the Olympia Mill Village Architectural Design Plans into an overlay, neighborhood character overlay district. Essentially what this would do is put more requirements versus quidelines for development within the Olympia area. Previously, you know, we've kind of gone over each one of the items for the neighborhood character overlay to one, you know, the first section or the first part of it was to establish that the minimum requirements to enact this overlay district have been met and we went over that previously. So where we are now is, it's on page 36 of your packet, which is the standards for the neighborhood character overlay district – I'll give y'all a moment. Alright so previously Staff identified that these standards could be found within the overlay, the Olympia Mill Village Architectural Design Guidelines and also within the Capitol Mill District Area Corridor Plan, also along with the newly adopted Richland County Land Development Code. So we identify where those could be found, so what you have before you is more the specifics of what that language states. So what you have that's highlighted in yellow are actually what the standards state. Some of them are pretty self, forthright and there are others here that, you know, maybe we can

discuss with some more language of how it will be incorporated into the overlay designation. If you would like we can kind of go over each of the items and if you see any particular typos or have any questions about the language, we kinda wanted to use this as a good discussion point, please feel free to chime in and ask any questions of Staff. Alright?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PRICE: And hopefully what we will look to do kind of going forward is if as a Planning Commission you feel you're in agreement with – well, if you're in agreement with what Staff has proposed or even you have your own recommendations that you vote on, then what we would do is prepare this as a document of how it will look within our Land Development Code and bring that back before you for your review. After that what we would like to do is we'll probably skip a month in-between so let's say we were able to come back in May we'll probably skip June and come back in July. What that would do from a Staff standpoint is allow us to work with the City of Columbia who are our neighbors in this particular area and also get their input. It would also allow us to meet with the residents within that area, hold a couple of community meetings, you know, we have to have a chance to notify everyone of potential changes specifically as it comes to their individual properties and so we would give a chance to have community meetings to address what it is we're doing, also what language is proposed, and taking their input and also give them notification of when this item will be coming back before the Planning Commission and ultimately before County Council. Taking a little bit of time but I think this is probably appropriate especially for the first time that

we're doing this, but also we wanna make sure that everyone is aware, everybody in 1 2 that community is aware of what changes are occurring. Any questions? 3 CHAIRMAN YONKE: Questions for Staff? Yes, Commissioner Grady? MR. GRADY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One question I had in looking through this is, 4 on pages 40 and 41 there's discussion of uses and it mentions R4, R6 and MU1 as the 5 6 relevant zoning types in the property. It would appear from the map that we were provided on page 33 that there are some parcels that are, it looks like M1 as well. Are 7 those not inside the district or do we just need to make sure that those are included as a 8 9 fourth eligible land use type, or am I misunderstanding something? MR. PRICE: Yes, so if you would look at – there's kind of a black border that 10 actually identifies the area that are part of the overlay that we're discussing. Those that 11 are outside of that, well not a part of the overlay designation and if it's in all white, yeah 12 that little, some of the purple, the heavy industrial – did you say heavy industrial or – 13 14 MR. GRADY: It looks like there are some M1 parcels in pink at the northeast corner. 15 MR. PRICE: Yes, sir. I do see those. Might've been a bit of oversight so I 16 17 apologize. We can include that also within there. MR. GRADY: Okay. 18 19 MR. PRICE: Yeah, thank you. 20 MR. GRADY: That's all I had, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Grady. Also a quick question of 21 22 Staff, would M1 be an appropriate zoning type for them to continue to use or add to?

MR. PRICE: Well, M1 is a legacy designation. As you remember I think that actually came, it was a recommendation from the Planning Commission to keep the M1 zoning designation, so. I think more toward the question that you're asking is, do we wanna be proactive with this zoning? I would recommend at this time that we just kinda keep the zoning as is and I think there may be other times, especially as we kinda go forward with our Comprehensive Plan, to start looking to make a determination if the county, the Planning Commission wants to make recommendations of proactive zoning throughout the county.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: I think my question or statement with this one is, do we need to add verbiage that M1 is okay where it's at, but not to continue.

MR. PRICE: No, sir, because – well, you know, I think maybe we could make that a bullet point within there because again, going by what's in our current Land Development Code it's understood that M1 is a legacy designation allowed to remain but you can't make a request for it.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes.

MR. PRICE: So if you feel like that language should also be included in here we will be more than happy to include that.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. PRICE: And also, again thank you Mr. Grady for bringing this to our attention, we'll also need to include the HI because there seems to be a little bit of kind of a bleed over from, looks like that's the quarry out there so we'll also include that language for that area. Alright, so we can kinda go over this. I can go as fast as y'all would like for me to.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

1314

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioners, would we like to hear more from Staff about this? Go over each one?

MR. PRICE: I was gonna go over it, it was just a matter of how quickly you wanted me to go over it, so.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay, go ahead.

MR. PRICE: Alright, so we'll start on page 36, 4(a) for lot sizes. As stated we felt that the current zonings within that area would be sufficient of identifying what the standard lot sizes would be for that area. As you see we have the R4, the R6 and the MU1 and we will also need to include the LI zoning designation, excuse me, the M1 zoning designation which is light industrial, and also the HI zoning designation in this category. Okay, any questions? Okay. Go to 4(b) lot width, the same, we'll use the zoning designations and we will definitely need to include the M1 and the HI also, but as you note that 50' is the minimum lot width for all of the residential zoning designations. I believe that the industrial, which would be the M1 and the like, and the HI, would have any, they would have a minimum lot width. And actually going back to 4(a) or lot size. Okay, go to 4(c) location of proposed buildings and additions, or additions. So as stated primary buildings shall be located in the middle of the lot, garages and accessory structures shall be in the rear of the primary building, also additions shall be made toward the rear of the property. They shall not align with the front façade nor projecting front or the original front façade. So that would be for, again for any new buildings or additions. Questions? And again, I wanna go back and reiterate that the intent of this is to try to continue and support the historical architectural guidelines within the Olympia area also. For the required yards, the front yard setback shall align with the other

historic façade setbacks on the block. Side yard setbacks shall be equal on both sides of the primary building. Alright, for (e) building height, historic buildings shall be limited to one or two stories or of 35', depending on the original housing type and that 35' will be added to it. Also a two story addition to a one story building shall be built at the rear of the historic building. The roof height of the new addition shall be as low as possible to minimize visual impact. On 4(f) building size for principal and accessory structures, building footprints of simple design, typically rectangular or L-shaped, depending on the historic housing type. The building footprint, bullet point 2, the building footprint of a new building shall reflect that of the house across the street if that house is not a historic building. The building footprint of a new build shall not be the same as that of the houses on the other side if those houses are historic buildings. Bullet point 3 on large lots the new massing shall be at the same scale of the houses along the street. And there's an illustration that's found on pages 32 and 45 of the Olympia Mill Architectural Design Guidelines. One thing I would like to point out and in one of the conversations Staff and I had is that what looks like, working with County Council, that we will need to have an architectural review body for this particular overlay in this area and so there may be some things that aren't very specific from a Staff standpoint of what the requirements would be, but would fall to the architectural review body to determine compliance with the objectives of this overlay. Questions? Okay.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, would that be a review body appointed by Council?

MR. PRICE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay.

MR. PRICE: Yes. And so that will be – I don't think we have any architectural 1 review bodies, at least during the time I've been here, so that would be something new 2 3 that we would be creating for this particular overlay designation. Alright for (g) building orientation, new builds shall be oriented the same as the building across the street if 4 that building is historic. One of the things, recurrent themes that you will see is that 5 6 historic designation of a structure or the adjacent structures may dictate orientation, height and build in this area. 4(h) exterior building materials and colors, for historic 7 houses damaged walls shall be repaired with like materials that match the weather 8 9 material of the original structure in color and texture. Only sections that are deteriorated beyond repair shall be replaced. Wood or cementius fiberboard siding must match the 10 original siding in size and scale. Aluminum and vinyl siding are not allowed. Bullet point 11 2 for this, additions to historic houses, additions shall only be made of wood, lap, siding 12 or fiber cement board siding. Plywood or metal siding is not allowed. Differentiating the 13 14 exterior wall materials of the addition from the existing house by using a different compatible material can be accepted if the scale is maintained. Again, these may be 15 items that have to go before the newly established architectural review body. New 16 17 builds, exterior wall material of new construction shall be constructed on materials that can be found on other houses within the neighborhood. Primary building materials such 18 19 as wood need to be used in comparable ways that they were used on historic buildings. 20 For example, lap wood siding was historically used in a horizontal pattern. Using lap wood siding vertically is not allowed. Did anybody have any questions? Does everybody 21 22 know what lap wood is? We had to look it up. We'll be more than happy to pull it up if

that's something that y'all will need. 4(i) building roofs, line and pitch, roof shapes on

historic houses shall be either side gable, front gable or cross gable. There are also hip roofs and shed roofs on porches. The original roof shape and slope as seen from the street shall be maintained. Multiple peaks and complex roofs are not allowed. Dormers and decorative gable ends are not allowed. Roofing materials are to duplicate the appearance and profile of the historic materials whenever possible. The color of the new roofing material shall be comparable to the color of the historic material, and metal roofs are not allowed. Roofs on additions shall not be visible above the ridgeline of the original roof. If it is not possible for the roof to be below the original ridgeline, the new roof shall be simple roof style gable or hip. The slope of the roof shall match the slope of the slope of the existing house. Roof material shall match or be similar to roof materials on the existing house and color, scale and texture. Roofs on new builds shall be simple, front gable, side gable, reflecting the character of the roofs of the existing houses within the neighborhood. Multiple peaks on roofs are not allowed. Dormers and [inaudible] windows are not allowed. Garages and garage locations, which is 4(j), garages shall be placed in the rear of the lot behind the primary building. Carports shall be located on the side of the primary building and shall be placed a minimum of 10' from the front façade. Building foundation treatment which is 4(k), historic structure foundations have pier and beam construction. Foundations skirts of historic buildings shall be made of wooden lattice, brick, concrete block or a stucco sheathing. 4(I) front porches, historic houses and old mills, the original front porch elements such as columns balustrades, I don't know if I'm saying that correctly, decorative trim shall be retained. Damaged element shall be repaired whenever possible. Any element deteriorated beyond repair shall only be replaced by using materials that match the original. If original porch features are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

missing there must be sufficient documentation to accurately produce missing elements. Additional porch elements that were not historically present is not allowed. Enclosing front porches is not allowed but screening is acceptable. If a front porch is screened it shall be constructed so that the primary architectural elements are still readily usable from the street. The addition of screen materials must be made in a manner that is reversible and does not damage any historic features. New porches and decks shall not be allowed, excuse me, new porches and decks shall not be added to a front elevation if one never existed. Historic houses in New Hill, which is a section within the overlay area, original stoop elements such as gable or shed projecting roofs and stoop columns must be retained. These elements must be decorative metal or wood posts and turned columns as appropriate. Adding a stoop that was not originally present is now allowed. Enclosing front stoops is not allowed. Enclosing side stoops with the same siding as on the main body of the house is allowed. New construction in Old Hill shall have a front porch. Porch columns, railings, balustrades and detailing should reflect the simple details of the original house. New construction in New Hill shall have a front stoop and a side stoop. Stoop roofs shall be front gable or shed. Railings shall be decorative metal or wood posts. So when we get to 4(m), this language comes directly from our 2021 Land Development Code for establishing accessory dwelling units. Accessory dwelling units shall be located only on a lot containing a single-family detached structure; (b) only one accessory dwelling unit shall be permitted per single family dwelling; (c) if the accessory dwelling unit is located within the same structure as the principal dwelling, the principal dwelling shall not be altered so as to appear to contain more than one dwelling unit; (d) a manufactured home is not to be used as an accessory dwelling unit;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and, (e) the gross floor area of the accessory dwelling shall not exceed 750 square feet or contain more than one floor for the heated floor area of the principal single-family dwelling, whichever is greater. And again, if at any point any of this language, and I know just reading something that's right before you, but it kind of puts in on the Record for those who are watching or maybe tuning in later, but if at any point I read any language that y'all have a question about or disagree with or just want to discuss please let me know. So it looks like we've got a bit of a typo going forward so yes —

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Our letters change here.

MR. PRICE: But I can make this work here. So the next one – okay, so the next one under landscaping and screening should be item (n). Any street trees shall be large canopy trees that are 40 to 50' in height and maturity. Smaller or ornamental trees should be planted nearer to the primary building. Shrubs should be limited to foundation plantings and shall not be more than 10' in height. Yards shall be grass or low plantings. Front yards shall not be paved or graveled. All plantings, and this is one where I'll just bullet point and Mr. Metts took note of this is this should actually read, all plantings shall be native and non-invasive species.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Are we gonna change the word 'should'?

MR. PRICE: To 'shall'.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Alright, thank you.

MR. PRICE: Front yard fences shall be constructed with wooden pickets, woven wire in historic patters, welded wire mesh or chain link. Front yard fences must be no higher than 42" in height. Next one is (o) which is impervious surface coverage. And again, we didn't put anything here because it would default to the development

standards as found in the 2021 Land Development Code and also working with our County Engineer to address those. That language will be forthcoming when we bring this back to you. Alright, so the next one is (p), item (p) paving requirements or limitations. Driveways and curb cuts shall be no more than 12' wide. Driveways shall be located to the side of the lot and must not be directly in front of a house. A shared driveway with the neighboring property is allowed. The shared driveway shall not be wider than 12'. The front yard shall not be paved or graveled. So you know, kind of looking at this and these are some of the items, you know, I asked that y'all kinda pay attention to and we can have some discussion on, so I'm looking at the, I guess what you have before you, the third bullet where it says, 'driveways shall be located on the side of the lot and must not be directly in front of a house', I believe it may be appropriate in some of these cases that, at least it's understood that we may need to add the language that this may require some form of variance because there could be a situation where due to the width of the lot any new construction may not allow for a driveway to be placed on the side and the only place may be directly in front of the home. So that is something that either we can, it can be a variance by the Board of Zoning Appeals or if the Planning Commission desires we can come up with some alternative language for this particular section.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, do we know if there are any homes like this in the neighborhood currently? That have a driveway? I feel like the goal here is to retain the historic nature of this neighborhood, yet are we willing to get anyone in trouble [inaudible]

MR. RIDDLEHOUVER: Yeah, so the historic nature of it is having them on the site although the, all the houses predate, you know, automobiles. So the pattern that developed historically through the neighborhood is having it on the side. There, the only one off the top of my head that I can think of, there's one house in the neighborhood that has their entire front yard paved, so and that's the only one that I can think of. But for the most part the character of the neighborhood reflects having those driveways to the side of the house. And historically there not being a driveway in the front of the house is due to the leading into the front of the house was generally a sidewalk going from the street to the front door is the historic pattern of the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: While we have you up here, state your name again for the Record?

MR. RIDDLEHOUVER: Oh yes. Apologies. My name is Mark Riddlehouver, the Neighborhood Planner.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you. A follow up question as we look at all these line items, are we going to see many neighbors get in trouble for deviating from the historic pattern as it's laid out now?

MR. RIDDLEHOUVER: I believe for the most part, well I'll let Mr. Price correct me if I'm wrong, but for the most part this will mainly be paying attention to anything like a new addition, a new build, alterations, things like, anything that would need a permit for is when we would enforce these kind of things.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. PRICE: Yeah, I mean, you know, I think it's safe to say any type of regulation that you, that's enacted by the county could have some, you know, less than

desirable consequences so there may be a case or two where these regulations may somehow prohibit the development of a site. But again, that's why there are means either by the Board of Zoning Appeals or a variance, or some additional language that we incorporate to address those. Or in some cases it may be we just have to go back and re-look at this and see if we were imposing some undue hardship on property owners and their ability to develop a site. I think as Mark has stated I think for the existing homes or making additions, that may be one thing but I think any way you start looking at new builds, you know, to make sure that they can incorporate these standards to developing the site.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price. Do we have any questions at this point from the Commission?

MR. DURANT: Mr. Chair, question for you, Mr. Price.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Durant.

MR. DURANT: And I apologize if you have answered this last month or the month before, but we use the term historic all throughout here, is there a definition of historic in here?

MR. PRICE: I was gonna save that for later.

MR. RIDDLEHOUVER: Yes, so when we're talking about historic for these guidelines and the overlay it's all based on the National Historic Register for which Olympia neighborhood is a neighborhood listed on the Historic Register, so the houses that were built within the time of, the period of significance, that being in the Old Hill area I believe early 1900s and New Hill the 1940s, so those would be what we consider the historic homes that were built during those eras.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Any other questions at this point, Commission? Okay, Mr. Price you want to continue on here?

MR. PRICE: Okay, so the next item would be (q) which would be exterior lighting. Exterior lights shall only shine directly onto the property to which they're attached. Lights shall be shielded so that they do not shine upwards or onto adjacent properties. Next item (r) required features on a front façade. Required front features on historic houses must be maintained according to the house type. On (s) uses, and again, you know, I apologize for not having the M1 or the HI zoning designations on here but if you'll look on page 41 you will see all of the permitted uses for R4, R6 and the neighborhood mixed use which is MU1. Now –

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, this was taken directly from our 2021 Code, correct?

MR. PRICE: Yes. One of the things we will look at, I mean, this may be an opportunity and may wanna look at this a little more, whether all of the uses that are allowed here are appropriate. But if we do then we wouldn't identify these as R4, R6 necessarily, but I think that's something that we could look at for the uses if you feel that some of these may not be appropriate at this time. Okay. Alright, so we'll go to page 42 which will start with the item (t) which will be views of or from specific locations. New additions shall not overwhelm or overshadow the existing building. They shall appear subordinate and be located as inconspicuously as possible. New additions shall be located behind the rear façade of the historic building whenever possible. Aligning an addition with the front façade or having a new addition projecting in front of the original front façade is not allowed. Additions that are visible from the street shall have windows

or the same proportion to the walls that follow the same patterns as those on the existing house. The addition shall have similar floor to floor heights and compatible [inaudible] divisions with those of the existing house. Also two story additions to one story homes shall be built at the rear of the historic building to preserve the original one story character. The historic building's appearance as viewed from the street shall appear relatively unaltered. Whenever possible the roof form of the new building shall not be visible above the ridgeline of the original roof when the front of the historic building is viewed from the street. The next one is (u), again this section is something that will take place from our Richland County, through our Richland County Public Works Department and so we're just gonna just stick with the language that's a requirement for [inaudible] development that will fall within any of these areas. (v) which would be demolition of structures. Demolishing a historic structure within the Olympia Mill Village to build a new structure shall always be an order of last resort. Demolition or relocation of any resource which is historical and/or of architectural significance shall not be considered unless the resource constitutes a hazard to the safety of the public or the occupants as determined by the building official. County Planners shall convene a meeting of the appropriate county departments to look for viable alternatives to demolition. The purpose is to see if there are monies or resources available to the owner to alleviate the issue identified by the building inspector. If deemed appropriate in the circumstances a licensed structural engineer familiar with historic [inaudible] preservation shall present a report to the county outlining the action needed for stabilization. Next bullet point, no contributing historic structure shall be moved out of the neighborhood. No contributing historic structure shall be repositioned on this lot

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

unless there is historic evidence of a different location on that lot. Next bullet point, a structure being moved into the neighborhood should be compatible in style. You know, there's that word again so I think that may be 'shall' be compatible in style. The proposed siding for a relocated main building shall be consistent and compatible with the existing structures on the same block face. This includes the setbacks, orientation and spacing. Additional requirements, for doors, historic buildings – this is under doors, for historic buildings enlarging or enclosing original door openings on the front elevations is not allowed. Moving or adding doors is not allowed. However, restoring original doors or original door openings that have been enclosed is encouraged. Retain and – the next bullet point, retain and repair original doors and door surrounds and transoms using materials that match the original. If replacement is required due to deterioration the replacement shall match the style of materials and finish of the original. Solid wood doors with recessed panels and frames are appropriate for the neighborhood. Steel and hollow wood doors are not allowed for main entries. For historic houses in New Hill doors are allowed to be more decorative with lite openings and with aluminum or wood screen doors. Next bullet point, doors in an addition shall compliment those of the existing house. More latitude in the design of the doors can occur if not visible from the street. Next bullet point is new builds. Front doors shall be visible from the street. Solid wood doors with or without lites shall correspond to the style and design of the original houses in that area. Doors with panels and recesses are most appropriate in the neighborhood. Flat unadorned doors are not allowed. Wood or aluminum screen doors are not only, excuse me, are only allowed in New Hill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, does this pertain to garage doors as well?

MR. PRICE: You know, I'm not sure if garage, if any of the homes in the Olympia area actually have garage doors. I think it mostly, carports or parking in the rear of the structure. We can take a look at that and we can bring that information back to you but I don't believe that you're gonna find many garages as in, within the Olympia area.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: I was also gonna suggest, we have section (h) which has exterior building materials and colors, maybe a section on doors can go after that instead of in the very back in additional requirements? Or was there a reason why doors and it looks like your next bullet point windows –

MR. PRICE: Yeah, that's how they fall, the sequential within the NCO of the Land Development Code so when we get to that one that's what it is.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DURANT: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Durant?

MR. DURANT: Question. Mr. Price, what is lite? It's used with lite openings or with, a door without lites, what is that?

MR. PRICE: Yes, we're gonna turn that over to Mr. DeLage cause we actually had that discussion as a Staff.

MR. DELAGE: We did have to look this up because I had not seen this term, but essentially it's, when you have on a door, there's a, generally you might have a window or some other kind of feature, maybe like mid center, and then at the very top of it there's a piece of wood and then a piece of glass above it, that's the lite.

MS. FRIERSON: It's spelled L-I-T-E?

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

12

11

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

MR. DELAGE: That's correct. I'll pull up an example as well. Here's a pretty good example showing that top part with the transom lite. And I guess it also seems to be applying to some of the other windows as well.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. DeLage.

MR. PRICE: For windows, historic buildings, enlarging or enclosing original window openings on the front elevation is not allowed. Moving or adding new window openings to the front elevation is not allowed. However, restoring original window openings that have been enclosed is encouraged. Retaining and repairing original windows, window surrounds and screens using materials that match the original. If replacing is required due to deterioration replacing the windows shall maintain the same size, profile and configuration, finish and details and the original windows. During installation or replacement windows the jams must be recessed from the front façade at the same depth as the original windows. Next bullet point, storm windows. On either the outside or inside can provide increased energy efficiency without damaging historic windows. Interior storm windows are encouraged in order to maintain the historic exterior appearance. If storm windows are installed they must be installed in a manner that they do not damage historic jams and surrounds. Next bullet point, windows on New Hill houses can have decorative shutters provided they are wood and compatible with shutters on surrounding houses. The next bullet point, additions. Windows shall emulate the windows of the historic structure in terms of anestration?, anybody, anybody, pattern, size, configuration, profile and finish, especially if they are visible from the street. Windows located on the rear or not visible from the street need not match the original window patterns or sizes. However, rear windows must be the same

material and be compatible with the historic windows. Windows on New Hill houses can have decorative shutters provided they are wood and compatible with shutters on surrounding houses. New builds, bullet point new builds, windows shall reflect the patterns of historic, the windows in historic buildings within the neighborhood. The vertical shape of the windows from the wall surface shall be similar to those in historic buildings within the neighborhood so that shadow lines are significant and reflect the historic character. The type of the windows shall relate to the architectural style of the original houses. Chimneys, original chimneys must be maintained. If chimneys are added they must be located behind the ridge line and shall not be visible on the front of the house as seen from the street in Old Hill. In New Hill it is appropriate to have windows visible on the front façade. So for some of these items what we will also try to do, again just go along with the theme of our Land Development Code is also show some pictures to kind of give an idea of what it is we're, particular sections are aiming for. New construction styles. Architectural styles of new builds must be similar to the building types that are historically present within Olympia Mill Village. Historical styles that were not present shall not be used as a basis for new construction. Contemporary design and style can be appropriate if the building respects the scale, massing, proportions, patterns and materials prevalent among the contributing houses within the neighborhood. Accessibility, ramps, lifts and accessible entrances shall be designed in such a way to avoid damage to character-defining features of a historic building. Parking, if a curb cut already exists an additional curb cut must not be added. Parking in the front of the house shall be limited to parking in the driveway. No parking on the front yard except on football game days.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Historically they've been able to park there, yeah. And for accessibility, can ramps come and go as needed?

MR. PRICE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Are they gonna be historic ramps?

MR. PRICE: No.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay.

MR. PRICE: So I think we start, we get in trouble when we start dictating that.

Again, the intent is you can have the ramp, just don't damage the structure if possible.

Alright, so that will be, that's it for those items. As stated we'll be happy to entertain any questions or discussion points that you may have for this, but we will, we do look to kinda clean this up a bit but also show you how it would look as similar to our Land Development Code.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Question from Commissioner Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Mr. Price, quick question. So you mentioned an architectural review body or group, is that an internal body to the Council or is that something you go out and retain an architectural firm and they you're gonna look for a line item or a budget item to do that from Council?

MR. PRICE: More than likely it would be something that's appointed by Council, probably made up of, we're trying to avoid Staff necessarily being a part of it because we have such oversights for uses and structures already. So it'll probably be made up part community and whoever other areas that the County feels is most appropriate, you know, for making those determinations.

MR. DUFFY: Great, thanks.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Any other questions?

MR. GRADY: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Grady, yes.

MR. GRADY: Yeah, so I will say I took the occasion of Mr. Price reading through the bullet points as constructed to kind of jot down some thoughts that came to mind. I would certainly welcome feedback from the rest of the Commission on any or all of these in terms of my personal thoughts on where it might be helpful for this to go in terms of direction. I think the first thing that comes to mind is if we're using words that we have to look up because we don't understand what they mean architecturally I think it would be helpful to the maximum extent possible if that could be rewritten in common language such that a homeowner or other concerned citizens would have a sense of what we're talking about.

MR. PRICE: Yeah, and I agree with you on that. And that's another reason why we will also try to incorporate some exhibits so that it'll be right there in front of you so if you don't know exactly what it means you'll know what it looks like. So, but I agree with you.

MR. GRADY: Okay, that would be helpful. I think it might be, I think the thing I'm worried about is that while we're all here and we all have good intent of what this is supposed to do, I have certainly seen bodies like a future design board or other entity who kinda go off on their own direction and become very stringent in enforcement in a way that is not necessarily in line with the text. So I want us to think through and would ask Staff to think about are there ways that we can ensure that any requirements are written in a way such that they do not create mission creep or otherwise are set in a way

that if there is a matter of interpretation it is decided in favor of the property owner rather than in favor of some additional strictures that this board were to create. [Inaudible] from other local governments in South Carolina I, like I said I fear that this could get out of hand.

MR. PRICE: Yes, sir.

MR. GRADY: That would be one thought of mine. Another thing that I think would be helpful, I'm not sure what the requirements are on this already in South Carolina statute, if any, but would there be some sort of notification requirement such that if someone purchases a home in this district that they are aware that there are limitations on how their property can be, you know, remodeled or otherwise dealt with?

MR. PRICE: I'm not sure how that is something that we could do. Again, one of the, going forward from this point we will be notifying all current property owners of these additional standards essentially that will be found within the overlay and hope that they would, you know, kind of pass that on. But I don't know if – and this is not isolated to this particular overlay, there are a number of things we have where, how do you get it out to the, so that the community or future property owners or buyers, investors, will know, okay in addition here's your property, your property's a little different from some of the standards. We've had this occur within, the last one we did was the Crane Creek master plan which eventually became its own zoning designation so it made it a little different. But yet there are a number of people buying properties and they were used to seeing certain things and then they realized they couldn't do it even though there were other uses out there in the, right in the area they were looking to develop. We just, you know, right now we're not sure how you get that out to ensure that people are protected

when they're buying, but what we can do is just notify everyone from the beginning that as a property owner this is what you have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. GRADY: Yeah, so I think that's a good start. I just, obviously you don't want somebody to buy in and then feel like they weren't informed, particularly if that person's gonna cause drama for lack of a better word. And then a couple more things then I'll be quiet and let the rest of the Commission opine. I think one thing that would be helpful is, you know, obviously this plan was developed with community input but community input is often not necessarily grounded in the trade-offs. So it is one thing for, and again I wasn't there when this neighborhood planning [inaudible] but, you know, it is one thing to say it would be nice for a neighborhood to look like X, it is another thing to say, we want the government to make the neighborhood look like X or that we are okay with it looking like X even if that has additional costs that may be incurred in the future. So I just want us to think through, and this could be something that happens in the meetings that you've discussed, to ensure that we're actually reflecting what the community actually wants, not what we think we heard they wanted. So I would just kind of put that out there as something to think about when planning those meetings. And then finally I would just want us to think about from a public policy perspective evaluating what happens to this neighborhood over time and trying to determine what impacts from a property value standpoint or a property turnover standpoint this designation may or may not have in how the neighborhood matures. So I would just want us to, you know, I'm a policy wonk, I'm gonna throw that out there as something to think about. So I have rambled on long enough and I will give back, thank you.

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Commissioner Grady, thank you for your thoughts and input on that. Any other comments from Commissioners based off that or from what Mr. Price just educated us on? Mr. Price, do you have anything further on this?

MR. PRICE: No, sir. I mean, again thank you Mr. Grady for those thoughts. And anything else that anybody wants to offer or any questions you may have?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Mr. Price, what would be the next step again?

MR. PRICE: So the next step is for us to kinda clean this up essentially, clean this up and then show you what this would look like potentially in a Land Development Code for an overlay. And then we'll bring that back before you, you know, for you to again essentially review and have your additional comments to it. And then from that point if everything seems to be okay we will then take this forward to the community. We have to send out notification to all property owners; that's another reason why we're gonna probably put a month in-between, let's say for example you take this in May, it won't come back again until July looks like because it's gonna take time. We have to give notification to the property owners, we also need to secure sites and have a number of community meetings. We don't wanna do just that one meeting, you know, Wednesday it might be church night or Thursday night something might be happening, you know, along that are that people aren't gonna be able to make it. So we at least wanna have at least two to three meetings within the community first, and then once we can then compile some of the input that we receive from them then we'll look to bring this back to the Planning Commission with the original draft but also we can put in some language that actually states or identifies what somebody, comments, concerns that have come about from meeting with the community. One of the things I'm hoping, and

this is just, I'm hoping that we do get good participation from all within the community, as many people as possible and not just the supporters of this overlay. We wanna hear from all sides of this and that's one of the reasons why we kinda wanna make sure that we have an opportunity to reach out because too often we, what's the term, we hear from the loud minority for something versus the silent majority on a lotta things and then so that kinda, that may eventually go back to something I think Commissioner Grady alluded to where later on they come in and say, I didn't know I could do this or what do you mean I can't, I have to do it this way, because they didn't speak up when this was originally brought before them.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price. We wanna give the public plenty of time to speak up on this, hear from it. And any time that we have something on our Other Items as an action, correct me if I'm wrong, this is where we could get public input. Neighbors can come out and speak.

MR. PRICE: Yes, that is correct. And so that's something else that we will look to promote when we go to the community meetings is to let them know when these items will be taken to the Planning Commission for action and we'll also try to keep a ledger of the citizens that attend and also their contact information probably email addresses so that we can contact them or email them about what is happening with this. And that way they'll be able to follow up either by attending, maybe sending in just some comments written which, you know, comments that are written to the Planning Commission for your consideration. I'm sorry, I just asked Mr. DeLage, we did receive an email from the We Are Olympia and they wanted just to kind of express some of their comments regarding this overlay proposal which would include some other items that

they would ask that you consider going forward with your recommendations. At this

- 2 point we wanted just to kind of use specifically what we found either through our
- 3 Richland County Land Development Code, the Olympia Mill Architectural Design
- 4 Guidelines or the Capitol City Mill District Plan, because those were adopted, those
- 5 | items have been adopted by Council. So we wanted to incorporate those first and now
- 6 we can start listening to community, in this particular case We Are Olympia, to see
- 7 about adding those other items to this language.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Will you make sure that gets out to us?

MR. PRICE: Yes, actually -

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you.

MR. PRICE: - by the time we finish I think Ms. Gooden will have, we actually did a printout of it also so we'll be able to hand those out to you and we'll start also sending those to you electronically also.

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes, Commissioner Taylor?

MR. TAYLOR: Just a quick question for Staff. Based on what I heard Mr. Grady say and what I think I understand, if a purchaser is getting a property in this neighborhood and they're not aware or the seller doesn't make them aware of these different guidelines or rules that may be in place, does the county website have any of this information kinda flagged, cause sometimes purchasers as well as agents will use county resources to try to gain as much information as possible. And I wasn't sure if there was a way to designate whether it's with a PDF attachment that you can get to that shows an architectural design guideline for a particular neighborhood, if there's an

overlay. I know we use it quite often to see whether property is in wetlands or flood zones or what the current zoning designations are. I was wondering if there was a way to maybe indicate that whether it's just a line item, I don't know what goes into establishing what gets on the county website, but maybe a designation that shows that, hey this has an overlay or some kind of, you know, architectural guidelines. So the question is, is that an item on the county website or is that something that could be added as an additional -

MR. PRICE: That's something we'll look into, and you know, I understand exactly what, some of your concerns, and so we can definitely at least promote any adopted language on the website for a certain period of time. I'm not sure if when we're looking at our GIS that we will be able to, you know, specifically state what it is you can and cannot do on this property, however, if the overlay designation which Mr. DeLage has this on, and it will identify this as, you know, it says, the Capitol City Mill District Plan and we'll just have to work with our IT Department to see if we can come up with some way, whether it be by when you click on a parcel, and if you just randomly click on a parcel Tommy if you don't mind, yeah when you look at this maybe it could be a particular row placed on this. I think as Mr. Smith was saying we can establish some type of hyperlink but I'm not sure if we'll initially have this hyperlink on this webpage. Cause they're really limited on some of the things that we can place on this.

MR. TAYLOR: And I wasn't even suggesting that the actual guideline itself necessarily be placed on there but much like you're saying a hyperlink or just even knowing that, you know, these guidelines do exist and if we could hyperlink to it and do

more digging there. But I didn't realize this part was on here but certainly something along these lines to help identify where this property lies.

MR. PRICE: And one of the things we've been working with our IT Department along with our assessors and others is if, what you're looking at before you, if you take a look at the parcel information at one time we actually had a section, it was called, or at least a row, excuse me, that said, secondary zoning because there were some parcels that actually had a split zoning on them so we wanted to make sure we included both of those. So maybe along those lines that we could put something on there that, you know, Olympia Mill Overlay standards or something along those lines where somebody could go to that.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. And to piggyback on that the county did put out a good application for the 2021 zoning that had some good overlay options on there. I know the military overlay would show up, environmental concerns too, so maybe the historical overlay could be an option. I'm not sure if you're gonna continue to use that application after [inaudible] was a good feature.

MR. PRICE: Okay. And like I say we'll meet with the team and IT and everyone to see what they can offer to us and try to incorporate this.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Any other thoughts on this overlay district? Good discussion tonight. Not hearing any, I'd like to move on to – go ahead?

MR. PRICE: I just wanna say I think we might've talked a little bit about this last time after the meeting, is anybody interested in doing a little tour of the Olympia area? I mean, we can talk about potentially getting a nice, you know, just depending on how

many people come, get a nice little bus or something and just kind of ride around and just actually see the Olympia area and all of the neighborhoods and properties that would be a part of this overlay?

CHAIRMAN YONKE: I love that idea. Commissioners?

MR. DURANT: I'd be interested.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Yes.

MR. PRICE: Alright, well so we'll start to look into trying to get that together. We'll send out something for everyone and some potential dates and times for everyone to go.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Great. Thank you, Mr. Price. Shall we move on to item number 7 on our Agenda?

MR. PRICE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: That's the Chairman's Report. I just want to say thank you Commissioners for your time and effort you invest each month in this Commission, traveling and reviewing cases and participating in great discussions like this one tonight. Also to add on I wanna share that this past month I met with Mr. Jenson to discuss preliminary efforts that will be needed from us in regards to updating the Comprehensive Plan. Please be prepared for us to likely have more than one meeting a month once this process kicks off. I can imagine at least one work session a month as needed. I will create a Google spreadsheet and share it out so we can use it to blackout dates for these and also for a Planning Commission training session. Staff, please let me know if there's anything I can do to help prepare for this summer's training session which last year was in August.

MR. PRICE: Yes. You know, as stated previously we're looking for topics that the Planning Commission would like covered during these training sessions. You know, I think Staff has, we've done a number over the years, you know, trying to kind of get those together and also the speakers but, you know, we would really like to hear from the Planning Commission if there's something that, you know, maybe of things we have taken up or maybe things that you see coming down the pipeline that maybe we can get, you know, some additional speakers to come in, additional training or something along those lines. But we would just like to make sure we hear from you if possible.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Comments on that, Commissioners, from previous experiences with the training sessions that we've had? Alright. I've always gotten good information from those which has helped me better in my role, so thank you. I think as we look at the Comp Plan in the future any topics that can help us would serve the county best. And that's all I have for that, so we can now move on to the Planning Director's Report. Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: At this time there is nothing to report.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Okay. With that, that moves us on to number 9, which is Adjournment. The Chair would like to make a motion for adjournment. Do I have a second?

MR. TAYLOR: Second.

CHAIRMAN YONKE: Second from many. Alright, Taylor, we'll say Taylor. Alright, Mr. Price, please take a roll via show of hands.

[Approved: Yonke, Frierson, Duffy, Metts, Durant, Taylor, Siercks, Grady; Absent:

Johnson]

		39
1	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Looks unanimous.	
2	MR. PRICE: It's unanimous.	
3	CHAIRMAN YONKE: Thank you, Mr. Price. Thank you everyone, have a good	
4	night. We're dismissed.	
5	[Meeting Adjourned at 7:25pm]	
6		